Malperformance in Verbal Fluency and Delayed Recall as Cognitive Risk Factors for Impairment in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
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Introduction

Living independently as long as possible is considered as one of the main objectives of community-dwelling older adults [1] and has a relevant impact on their quality of life [2]. In general, 2 essential types of activities in everyday life are distinguished that determine the level of autonomy and independence: basic activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL).

While ADL comprise basic daily routines like bathing, eating or dressing, IADL play an important role in handling more complex activities like shopping, managing finances and preparing meals [3]. Therefore, most
IADL instruments focus on complex everyday living skills [4–9]. Impairment in IADL usually precedes ADL impairment [10] and is thought to be particularly associated with cognitive functioning. Moreover, it has been argued that specific cognitive measures are more appropriate for establishing an association between cognition and IADL than global cognitive status measures [6]. In a cross-sectional study, executive functioning (EF) was detected to be a more powerful predictor of IADL than other cognitive constructs including memory, visuospatial functioning or motor functioning [11]. Recently, initial longitudinal evidence has been reported in a Japanese sample that examined the association between specific cognitive variables and functional decline and found processing speed and EF to be associated with IADL [7]. Moreover, another study [12] revealed a unique association between EF and IADL longitudinally, while in cross-sectional analyses both a composite memory score and a composite EF score were associated with an approximation of IADL 

We hypothesized that in cross-sectional analyses, both episodic memory and EF will be associated with IADL in general. However, as specific IADL items involve different cognitive abilities, we predicted EF to be associated with telephone use, managing finances and responsibility for medication intake as those activities require planning and sequencing of activities. On the other hand, we assumed memory to be related to activities which also require episodic memory like responsibility for medication intake and shopping. Concerning longitudinal analyses, we hypothesized, in line with prior results [7, 12], that EF, but not episodic memory, is associated with IADL impairment.

Materials and Methods

Design

This study is part of the prospective longitudinal multicenter German study on Ageing, Cognition and Dementia in Primary Care Patients (AgeCoDe) with data collection between January 2003 and December 2007. The study was completed in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and approved by the local ethics committee. All participants gave written informed consent prior to study entry.

Participants

General practitioners (GPs) in 6 German cities (Bonn, Düsseldorf, Hamburg, Leipzig, Mannheim and Munich) were asked to take part in this study. In total, 138 GPs participated in the recruitment process, ranging from 19 to 29 GPs per study center. Inclusion criteria for patients were age 75 years and older, absence of dementia and at least 1 contact with the GP within the last 12 months. Exclusion criteria were insufficient German language skills, consultations only by home visits or not being a regular patient of the participating GP, residence in a nursing home, severe illness the GP would deem fatal within 3 months, deafness or blindness and lacking ability to consent. On average, each GP included 24 randomly selected patients.

As shown in figure 1, 3,327 GP patients participated in the baseline assessment. From this sample, 2,820 patients still participated in follow-up 1 (FU1) 18 months after the baseline assessment and 2,478 patients in follow-up 2 (FU2) 36 months after the baseline assessment. Out of these 2,478 patients, 18 patients attended FU2, but not FU1. Thus, in total 2,460 patients were assessed 3 times.

Out of the total baseline sample, 112 patients (3.4%) had to be excluded in the following analyses due to their age being below 75 years (39, 1.2%), due to being classified as having dementia after baseline neuropsychological testing (70, 2.1%) or due to incomplete neuropsychological assessments (3, 0.1%). Therefore, a total of 3,215 patients were included for analyses at baseline, 2,741 for FU1 and 2,411 for FU2.

Comparing participants with nonparticipants at baseline, earlier publications of the AgeCoDe study group [19, 20] demonstrated that patients refusing participation were significantly older and included fewer males when compared to participating patients. A comparison of dropouts and study participants remaining in the sample till follow-up assessment revealed that, regard-
ing baseline data, dropouts in FU1 and FU2 were significantly older and had a lower MMSE score [FU1: t(658) = 3.635, p < 0.01 for age, t(607) = –9.137, p < 0.01 for MMSE; FU2: t(448) = 5.106, p < 0.01 for age, t(453) = –6.239, p < 0.01 for MMSE]. Hence, in those variables, a bias has to be assumed, although the differences were small. No difference was found regarding males and females participating in follow-up assessments compared with those who had left the study (χ² = 0.56, d.f. = 1, p = 0.45 for FU1 and χ² = 3.70, d.f. = 1, p = 0.06 for FU2).

**Instruments and Data Collection**

Structured clinical interviews were carried out at the patients’ home by trained physicians and psychologists. All interviews included neuropsychological testing, collection of sociodemographic data, evaluation of functional activities, examination of current medications, suspected risk factors for dementia, and screening for depressive symptoms. For the latter, a German version of the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [21] was applied. Possible impairment in mobility, vision and hearing was assessed by self- or informant-based rating on a 4-grade scale (no, slight, serious or severe impairment).

Neuropsychological assessment consisted of the Structured Interview for Diagnosis of Dementia of Alzheimer type, Multiinfarct Dementia and Dementia of other Etiology according to DSM-III-R, DSM-IV and ICD-10 (SIDAM) [22]. The SIDAM is a neuropsychological test battery including 55 cognitive test items and a section for clinical examination and diagnosis. Dementia cases were diagnosed according to DSM-IV criteria [23] following the diagnostic algorithm of the SIDAM and the Global Deterioration Scale [24] which had to be scored with at least 4. Unclear cases were discussed in a standing diagnostic clearing platform with multiple experts in the field. Furthermore, subtests of the neuropsychological test battery of the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD-NP) [25] were administered: verbal fluency, word list learning and recall, word list delayed recall, word list recognition.

We assessed EF using the CERAD semantic verbal fluency scale [26]. In this scale, participants have to name as many ani-
imals as possible during a 1-min time period. The higher the verbal fluency score which indicates more generated words, the better the performance. To assess episodic memory, the amount of words recalled after 10 min in the delayed recall task of the CERAD with a range between 0 and 10 recalled items was computed.

The functional status of the patients was assessed by the IADL scale [3]. This IADL scale (Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample = 0.66) consists of 8 items describing the patients’ abilities in the following dimensions: ability to use telephone, shopping, food preparation, housekeeping, laundry, mode of transportation, responsibility for own medications and the ability to handle finances. Each item allowed a rating of at least 3 grades (independent management, some assistance required, completely dependent) at which possible confounding factors (physical impairments) were excluded. Could a patient, for example, not leave his or her house due to hindered mobility but was able to write a shopping list independently, the item shopping was scored as ‘takes care of all shopping needs independently’. Impairment was true in case the patient required help or was completely unable to perform the dimension independently. For each item, the participant could achieve either 0 or 1 point as defined in the original scale [3]. As some items did not apply to all participants (e.g. food preparation, housekeeping and laundry for men whose wives fulfilled these tasks), their answers were recoded for analysis as having no difficulty in performing the task following the approach of prior publications [6, 27].

**Statistical Analysis**

First, logistic regression analyses using SPSS version 16.0 were conducted to identify the amount of explained variance in IADL by EF and episodic memory cross-sectionally [28]. For the logistic regressions, the IADL scale was recoded in a dichotomous variable with IADL impairment assumed when at least 1 of the 8 abilities was impaired and thus scored with 0 (for a similar approach, see Jefferson et al. [27]). Additional logistic regression analyses were then realized for all single IADL items which were also scored as either impaired or not impaired. Thus, IADL in general or single IADL items always served as dependent variable. EF and episodic memory were the independent variables of interest. All analyses were controlled for age, gender, education, impairment in mobility, vision or hearing and depression (for odds ratios and confidence intervals, see table 2). Episodic memory (measured by delayed recall) showed a somewhat higher association with IADL impairment than EF (measured by verbal fluency). Higher age, male gender, impaired mobility and a higher score on the GDS were also associated with IADL impairment.

Analyzing the IADL domains at baseline separately, IADL impairment was most frequent in shopping (n = 116), followed by responsibility for own medication (n = 93), food preparation (n = 63), laundry (n = 39) and transportation (n = 35). Housekeeping (n = 12), handling finances (n = 10) as well as using the telephone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>FU1</th>
<th>FU2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>n=3,214</td>
<td>19.47 (5.44)</td>
<td>19.61 (5.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed recall</td>
<td>n=3,191</td>
<td>5.43 (2.22)</td>
<td>5.57 (2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS score</td>
<td>n=3,211</td>
<td>2.25 (2.31)</td>
<td>2.46 (2.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Variable n due to missing data.

**Results**

**Patients**

A total of 3,215 participants on average aged 79.7 years (SD 3.6) were used for all subsequent analyses, including 2,104 women (65%) and 1,111 men (35%). In FU1, 2,741 participants with an average age of 81.2 years (SD 3.5) remained in the sample comprising 1,785 (65%) women and 956 (35%) men. Finally, out of the 2,411 participants in FU2, 1,590 were female (66%) and 821 were male (34%). The mean age here was 82.5 years (SD 3.4).

Regarding IADL impairment, 241 patients (7.5%) were impaired at baseline assessment, 237 (8.7%) at FU1 and 234 (9.7%) at FU2. For means and standard deviations of verbal fluency, delayed recall and the GDS, see table 1.

**Outcomes**

The cross-sectional analysis using logistic regression revealed an association between cognition and IADL impairment after controlling for age, gender, education, impairment in mobility, vision or hearing and depression (for odds ratios and confidence intervals, see table 2). Episodic memory (measured by delayed recall) showed a somewhat higher association with IADL impairment than EF (measured by verbal fluency). Higher age, male gender, impaired mobility and a higher score on the GDS were also associated with IADL impairment.
In separate logistic regressions, an association between EF and single IADL was observed for shopping (OR = 0.943, 95% CI = 0.904–0.984, p < 0.01), responsibility for own medication (OR = 0.949, 95% CI = 0.907–0.994, p < 0.05) and finances (OR = 0.821, 95% CI = 0.681–0.990, p < 0.05). Episodic memory was only associated with responsibility for own medication (OR = 0.828, 95% CI = 0.742–0.924, p < 0.01). In order to correct for multiple testing, we used the Holm-Bonferroni method [29]. This indicated that the association between EF and responsibility for own medication as well as the association between EF and finances might be false-positive results. Hence, the association between EF and shopping and the association between episodic memory and responsibility for own medication emerged as reliable findings even when adjusting for multiple testing.

Utilizing a longitudinal approach, a Cox regression model using the same covariates as mentioned above, revealed that worse performance in EF and episodic memory indicated a greater potential for IADL impairment to occur (table 3). Specifically, worse performance in episodic memory was slightly more associated with IADL impairment than worse performance in EF. This pattern persisted after exclusion of demented persons from FU1 and FU2. Besides the 2 cognitive measures, higher age, impairment in mobility and hearing (hearing only for the calculation including demented patients) and a higher GDS score were significantly associated with a higher risk of IADL impairment in the course of 3 years.

### Discussion

The present study clearly reveals that results in neuropsychological tests are associated with the functional status of elderly GP patients. Performing worse on neuropsychological tests including verbal fluency and delayed recall of words increases the risk of functional impairment. This is true both for cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses.

Cross-sectional results here fit in nicely with previous results suggesting that low performance in EF is associ-
ated with IADL impairment [11, 30]. However, the episodic memory task in our study demonstrated a somewhat higher explanatory value than EF, which is in contrast to the results of Cahn-Weiner et al. [11]. An important novel finding concerns the disentangling of single IADL. Considering the IADL domains separately, former results indicating that responsibility for medication intake is associated with EF and memory could be confirmed [17]. However, the association between EF and medication intake might be a false-positive result according to the Holm-Bonferroni method. All other IADL domains showed no significant association with episodic memory while EF was also associated with shopping and possibly with finances. This is in line with those tasks being considered as complex activities with a need for planning and sequencing. Surprisingly, telephone use was not associated with EF or episodic memory, which is likely to be an artifact due to the very small sample size of only 2 impaired participants.

Longitudinal analyses corroborated cross-sectional findings and again demonstrated an association between cognition and IADL. IADL impairment was slightly less likely to occur when the patients performed better in the episodic memory task compared with performance in the EF task. This is in contrast to prior results showing that EF becomes more important regarding the temporal course of functional impairment [12] and IADL decline [7], but in line with previous results showing that memory and EF are associated with IADL [31].

The present data set also allowed for an important methodological clarification that critically extends some previous studies: noteworthy, the significant association between cognition and IADL is longitudinally not just an effect of increasing functional impairment due to dementia. After excluding incident dementia cases, the association slightly diminished, but still remained significant indicating the robustness of the effects and an independent explanatory value of episodic memory and EF. Some attention needs to be drawn to patients with mild cognitive impairment who are still included in the analyses. It is discussed that mild cognitive impairment patients may have impairments in IADL, although less frequently than patients with dementia [32]. However, additional analyses (data not shown) excluding mild cognitive impairment did not change the results.

There are several strengths and limitations of this study. First, our multicenter study was specifically designed to investigate older GP patients. Thus our study population can be assumed to be representative of older adults. Furthermore, most studies on the relationship between cognitive functioning and IADL impairment have focused on persons under the age of 75. To study a large sample of elderly persons above 75 years is particularly important since cognitive and functional impairment become more common with increasing age. Second, as stated by Barberger-Gateau and Fabrigoule [33], ‘cross-sectional studies tend to overestimate differences in cognitive functioning with age’, so we also used a longitudinal design with standardized time intervals. However, as reported above, it can be assumed that participants lost to follow-up assessments were somewhat older and more vulnerable to cognitive impairment resulting possibly in an underestimation of effects in the remaining sample. Yet, this limitation applies to most longitudinal studies [34, 35]. Third, neuropsychological assessments and the evaluations of the person’s actual life circumstances including the ability to perform IADL independently can be regarded as highly reliable due to home visits by trained physicians and psychologists and additional interviews with close relatives or friends if cognitive function was impaired. Additionally, according to Crawford and Henry [26], verbal fluency tasks are a powerful EF measure with acceptable reliability and validity, short test duration and are easy to interpret. Thus, even if one can consider this as a narrow approach to studying EF, verbal fluency tests are specific and sensitive to executive dysfunction [36, 37]. However, we want to add as limitation that we cannot fully preclude that exactly the same results would occur when measuring EF and episodic memory with other cognitive tests than presented here. Finally, the present study applied an IADL measurement focusing on items of IADL performance like performing household tasks or managing money in contrast to broader and more unspecific IADL measurements used earlier such as the Blessed Roth Dementia Rating Scale [12, 13].

In conclusion, results here suggest that higher-order cognitive functioning can be important in predicting the progression to IADL impairment in older adults. Furthermore, besides decline in episodic memory, impairment in EF was found to be an important predictor of restricted autonomy. Thus, episodic memory and EF should be assessed carefully to detect impending IADL impairment, especially in responsibility for own medication and shopping.
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