Orbitofrontal Dysfunction Related to Both Apathy and Disinhibition in Frontotemporal Dementia
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Abstract
Orbitofrontal metabolic impairment is characteristic of the frontal variant of frontotemporal dementia (fv-FTD), as are early changes in emotional and social conduct. Two main types of behavioral disturbances have been distinguished in fv-FTD patients: apathetic and disinhibited manifestations. In this study, we searched for relationships between brain metabolism and presence of apathetic or disinhibited behavior. Metabolic activity and behavioral data were collected in 41 fv-FTD patients from European PET centers. A conjunction analysis of the PET data showed an expected impairment of metabolic activity in the anterior cingulate, ventromedial and orbital prefrontal cortex, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the left anterior insula in fv-FTD subjects compared to matched controls. A correlation was observed between disinhibition scores on the Neuropsychiatric Inventory scale and a cluster of voxels located in the posterior orbitofrontal cortex (6, 28, –24). Comparison of brain activity between apathetic and nonapathetic fv-FTD patients from two centers also revealed a specific involvement of the posterior orbitofrontal cortex in apathetic subjects (4, 22, –22). The results confirm that the main cerebral metabolic impairment in fv-FTD patients affects areas specializing in emotional evaluation and demonstrate that decreased orbitofrontal activity is related to both disinhibited and apathetic syndromes in fv-FTD.

Introduction
Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is one of the major causes of early-onset degenerative dementia. Clinical manifestations are classically characterized by the very evident alteration of personal and social judgment. However, FTD is a heterogeneous pathology, from both a clinical and a neuropathological point of view [1–3]. Three different syndromes are considered to be variants of the
disease: semantic dementia, primary progressive aphasia and the frontal variant of FTD (fv-FTD). Structural and functional neuroimaging studies of FTD phenotypes have explored which kinds of brain damage are shared by or specific to the subgroups of FTD. Common involvement of the frontal and insular cortices has been found for all variants of FTD [4]. In semantic dementia, characterized by a progressive loss of semantic knowledge [5, 6], prominent cerebral atrophy has been observed in the anterior temporal cortex and anterior hippocampus [7–11]. The insula is characteristically involved in patients suffering from primary progressive aphasia (the nonfluent aphasic variant of FTD), who are clinically typified by the production of hesitant and nonfluent speech [12, 13]. Predominant frontal involvement has been observed in the frontal variant of the disease – the most frequent variant – which is clinically characterized by early changes in emotional and social conduct [10, 14]. There is considerable overlap between the clinically characterized semantic dementia and fv-FTD, and the anatomically defined (i.e., by atrophy) temporal and frontal variants of FTD, respectively [15].

Although major behavioral disorders have been described in the temporal variant of FTD [15], we focus our analysis on clinically defined fv-FTD. In a multicenter study of 29 fv-FTD patients, hypometabolic areas common to all patients mainly comprised the ventromedial part of the prefrontal cortex [16]. Moreover, progression of the disease was essentially accompanied by a decrease in metabolic activity in the orbitofrontal region [17]. This cortex is important for the processing of emotional stimuli and the adaptation of behavior according to social rules. However, few studies have explored the neural correlates of clinical phenotypes among fv-FTD patients. Indeed, two main types of social/emotional misconduct have been reported in fv-FTD patients’ daily behavior: (1) disinhibition, referring to the production of socially inappropriate comments and/or actions, and (2) apathy, referring to lack of initiative, lack of interest and lack of emotional concern [18]. In the neuroimaging literature, apathy and disinhibition are characterized by impairment of, respectively, the dorsolateral versus orbital frontal metabolism [19], frontopolar versus posterior orbital frontal activity [20], and anterior and dorsolateral prefrontal versus posterior orbitofrontal glucose uptake [21].

In the study reported on here, we evaluated brain metabolic impairment in fv-FTD patients included in a prospective European multicenter study. There were two differences compared to our previous study [16]. First, we used more recent diagnostic criteria [2] than in the previous report [22]. Secondly, we collected behavioral data in order to investigate the relationships between key clinical variables and cerebral metabolism in fv-FTD. We planned to explore three clinical variables in this context: the severity of the dementia, as measured by the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale [23], apathy and disinhibition, both measured with the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [24].

**Method**

**Patients**

Images obtained with positron emission tomography and the [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose method (FDG-PET) and clinical data were collected in a population of 41 fv-FTD patients diagnosed according to international clinical criteria [2]. Patients with progressive aphasia and semantic dementia were not included. The data were gathered in a prospective multicenter European study (Network for Efficiency and Standardization of Dementia Diagnosis or NEST-DD project). These 41 patients were selected from five different PET centers. Their mean age was 63.5 ± 8.1 years, mean Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was 22 ± 5, mean CDR was 1.5 ± 0.8, and mean disease duration was 39 ± 27 months. Data from two, three or five centers were used for different analyses, depending on the availability of control subjects, or according to the distribution of behavioral symptoms at each center. Out of the whole patient group, 23 fv-FTD subjects could be age matched to elderly controls from their own center; metabolic differences between the two populations were then confirmed in a
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PET Acquisition and Image Processing

Basic images were acquired during quiet wakefulness with eyes 
closed and ears unplugged after intravenous injection of 110– 
370 Mibq 18F-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose. Images of tracer distribu-

tion in the brain were used for analysis; the required minimum scan 

starting time was 30 min after tracer injection and scan duration 
as approximately 20 min. Images were reconstructed using fil-
tered backprojection including correction for measured attenua-
tion and scatter using the standard software supplied by the various 

scanner manufacturers [25].
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Data Analysis

First of all, a comparison of brain activity was conducted in or-

der to delineate common hypometabolic areas in our fv-FTD pop-

ulation. Twenty-three patients from three centers were matched by 
age to a control from their own center. Thus, 23 pairs of scans were 

used in a multigroup experimental design (three groups from three 

PET centers involved in the NEST-DD project) with two condi-

tions (considering each pair of scans as a subject, and treating FTD 
as condition 1 and controls as condition 2). A comparison of brain 

metabolism was computed between patients and controls from 

each center. Then a conjunction analysis using data from the three 
centers was carried out. This was a confirmatory analysis [16, 17, 

21], and a threshold of significance was fixed to p (uncorrected) < 

0.01. A masking procedure was applied to ensure that the contrasts 

between FTD and control subjects in each center were taken into 

account as an inclusive mask, with a mask p value < 0.01. In that 

way, we focused exclusively on metabolic impairments common 

to all three PET centers.

At this point, we did two different statistical analyses to inves-
tigate the relationship between FTD metabolism and clinical vari-

ables. First, we performed a correlation analysis between the be-

havioral data collected in the whole FTD group (n = 41) and PET 

metabolic measurements. Three different variables were used for 

correlation analysis: dementia severity (CDR score), and NPI 

scores of apathy and disinhibition (design: single subject, covariates 

only, with age as a confounding covariate). The correlated set of 

clusters was thresholded at p (uncorrected) ≤ 0.001.

We then performed direct comparison analyses in order to rep-

licate previous findings [21] by comparing metabolism in the dif-

ferent behavioral subgroups of fv-FTD. We therefore divided the 

FTD group into subgroups based on NPI scores for disinhibition 

and apathy. A score of 8 or more was considered to indicate pathol-

ogy while a score of 4 or less was considered to indicate a preserved 

capacity. Patients with both disinhibition and apathy were exclud-

ed from the analysis. The samples of disinhibited FTD patients 

were too small within each center to perform any analysis. Accord-

ing to the NPI apathy scores, 13 FTD patients from two centers 

were classified as apathetic (mean NPI apathy score: 9.8) whereas 

12 FTD patients from the same centers were considered as ‘non-

apathetic’ (mean NPI apathy score: 1.5). A single-subject experi-

mental design was used in the SPM software, with six conditions, 
treating each subgroup from centers 1 (Milan) and 2 (Liège) as a 
different condition [apathetic FTD (1); nonapathetic FTD (1); el-
derly controls (1); apathetic FTD (2); nonapathetic FTD (2) and 
elderly controls (2)]. Age and center were introduced as confounding 

covariates. We compared the metabolism of each apathetic 

FTD group to the entire control group from their own center. Then, 
a conjunction analysis of these comparisons (apathetic FTD vs. 

controls) was carried out with a p (uncorrected) ≤ 0.001 and a 
masking procedure excluding the hypometabolic areas observed in 
nonapathetic FTD patients (exclusive mask: nonapathetic FTD vs. 
controls at p value < 0.05). In that way, we wished to isolate the 
hypometabolic areas specific to apathetic FTD in the two centers, 
and not shared by nonapathetic FTD patients. For all analyses, 
brain coordinates for the SPM results corresponded to the MNI 
standard space.

Table 2. NPI scores for 41 fv-FTD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apa</th>
<th>Dis</th>
<th>Abe</th>
<th>Agi</th>
<th>Dys</th>
<th>Irr</th>
<th>Anx</th>
<th>Del</th>
<th>Eup</th>
<th>Hal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.4 (4.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.1 (3.4)</td>
<td>2.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (3.0)</td>
<td>1.8 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscales for Apathy (Apa), Disinhibition (Dis), Aberrant motor behavior (Abe), Agitation (Agi), Dysphoria (Dys), Irritability (Irr), Anxiety (Anx), Delusion (Del), Euphoria (Eup), Hallucination (Hal). Scores are expressed as mean (standard deviation).
Results

The patterns of hypometabolism obtained by the comparison of fv-FTD patients and matched controls were very similar in the three selected centers. The conjunction analysis carried out on these comparisons showed decreased activity in the left anterior cingulate (−12, 42, 14), the ventromedial and orbital prefrontal cortex, the left anterior insula and different areas of the lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC; table 3).

Table 3. Hypometabolism in FTD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain structure</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>Coordinates x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>Voxel extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial frontal cortex</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontopolar gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral frontal cortex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior prefrontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior insula</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus rectus</td>
<td>L/R</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation with disinhibition</td>
<td>L/R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathetic vs. nonapathetic</td>
<td>L/R</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are reported in MNI spatial coordinates and are expressed as x, y and z (mm).

Fig. 1. Correlation analysis between NPI disinhibition scores and metabolic images in 41 fv-FTD subjects. a Correlation in the gyrus rectus. b Correlation between disinhibition scores of all subjects (x-axis) and the relative metabolic activity in the gyrus rectus (y-axis).
In the second analysis, we delineated, in 41 fv-FTD patients, brain regions where metabolism was correlated with the three behavioral variables. We looked for correlations between FDG-PET images and dementia severity (CDR) and NPI apathy scores, but the analyses failed to identify any significant area. However, when NPI disinhibition scores were used as the variable of interest, SPM revealed a significant correlation with the gyrus rectus (6, 28, –24). This pattern is illustrated in figure 1.

For the last analysis, the conjunction revealed specific hypometabolism in the apathetic FTD group in the gyrus rectus of the orbitofrontal cortex (4, 22, –22), that was not shared by nonapathetic FTD subjects. This region is illustrated in figure 2.

**Discussion**

The pattern of metabolic impairment observed in this prospective multicenter study of fv-FTD patients is consistent with recent neuroimaging reports. Impaired activity was observed in the anterior cingulate, ventromedial and orbital prefrontal cortex. Different areas were involved in the LPFC, including the superior and inferior frontal sulci bilaterally. The metabolism was also decreased bilaterally in a frontier area between the anterior insula and posterior LPFC. There is an overall similarity with our previous multicenter study [16], although the later was retrospective and used different diagnostic criteria for inclusion [22]. Slight differences between reports in the literature are probably related to the heterogeneity of the disease: pathological verification is very rare in neuroimaging studies, and although phenotypes may be defined with stringent diagnostic criteria [2], the limited samples of FTD patients must be heterogeneous between studies.

Correlation analyses failed to reveal any brain areas significantly correlated with dementia severity using a univariate SPM analysis. This might reflect the fact that most dementia scales, such as the CDR or MMSE [26] are inadequate to assess the deficits characterizing FTD [27]. More specifically, mixed CDR items assessing neuropsychological performance, judgment and daily functioning would not provide a consistent dementia score in FTD, because impaired activities of daily living would depend on behavioral disturbances more than on memory or orientation abilities in this disease. Thus, a heterogeneous dementia score does not appear to be related...
to any specific neural network in FTD, whereas the CDR score has been found to be related to a consistent frontoparietal ‘executive’ network in Alzheimer’s disease [28].

The most striking clinico-metabolic relationship observed in our FTD population involved a measure of disinhibited social behavior. Our results showed that disinhibition scores were significantly correlated with a cluster of voxels located in the orbitofrontal cortex. This result is consistent with the literature revealing that disinhibited conduct is frequently observed in patients with orbitofrontal lesions [1, 29, 30]. A very recent between-groups comparison showed that metabolism in the posterior orbitofrontal cortex was impaired in FTD patients with disinhibition compared to control subjects and to FTD patients with apathy [21]. Accordingly, in the experimental setting of a reversal learning task (in which choices were associated with contingent monetary rewards and penalties), patients with damage to the orbitofrontal region were found to be unable to adjust their behavior appropriately to the contingencies of the task [31, 32]. Moreover, several neuroimaging studies have shown that this kind of task activates orbitofrontal regions in normal subjects [33–35]. These findings might be relevant to understanding the behavioral changes in FTD patients: disinhibition might correspond to an inability to adapt one’s behavior to changing social rules, for example, when there is a conflict between immediate individual and delayed social reward. This is in keeping with the observation of a common neural correlate, Bogousslavsky et al. [36] have reported a case study of a patient with paramedian infarction of the right thalamus who showed a strong disinhibition syndrome (limited to speech), contrasted with a persistent lack of spontaneity (patient remained lying on her bed). Franceschi et al. [21] suggested that the metabolic impairment was located more in the anterior part of the orbitofrontal cortex for apathetic than for disinhibited fv-FTD patients. However, the comparison between each fv-FTD subgroup and the controls showed an overlap in the middle part of the orbitofrontal cortex. Given the overlap we also found in our analyses, it seems that orbitofrontal hypometabolism is involved in disinhibition and apathetic behaviors and that further investigations of specific networks and neurotransmitters are needed to understand how the decrease in activity in that region might induce a higher rate of various social maladjustments.

In summary, our data have confirmed that the main cerebral areas involved in fv-FTD are the medial and ventral part of the prefrontal cortex, comprising the anterior cingulate, ventromedial and orbital prefrontal cortex. In addition, we found that the gyrus rectus is significantly correlated with disinhibition scores, and is especially impaired in apathetic fv-FTD subjects. The orbitofrontal cortex is particularly important in the evaluation and updating of the emotional valence of incoming information, and this may be essential to the regulation of behavior according to social constraints.
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