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The sensorimotor cortex is a brain region comprising the primary motor cortex (MI) and the primary somatosensory (SI) cortex. In humans, investigation into these regions suggests that MI and SI are involved in the modulation and control of motor and somatosensory processing, and are somatotopically organized according to a body plan (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937). Additional investigations into somatotopic mapping in relation to the limbs in the peripheral nervous system and SI in central nervous system have further born out the importance of this body-based organization (Wall & Dubner, 1972). Understanding the nature of the sensorimotor cortex’s structure and function has broad implications not only for human development, but also motor learning (Taubert et al., 2011) and clinical applications in structural plasticity in Parkinson’s disease (Sehm et al., 2014), among others.

The aim of the present thesis is to identify functionally meaningful subregions within the sensorimotor cortex via parcellation analysis. Previously, cerebral subregions were identified in postmortem brains by invasive procedures based on histological features (Brodmann, 1909; Vogt. & Vogt., 1919; Economo, 1926; Sanides, 1970). One widely used atlas is based on Brodmann areas (BA). Brodmann divided human brains into several areas based on the visually inspected cytoarchitecture of the cortex as seen under a microscope (Brodmann, 1909). In this atlas, BA 4, BA 3, BA 1 and BA 2 together constitute the sensorimotor cortex (Vogt. & Vogt., 1919; Geyer et al., 1999; Geyer et al., 2000).

However, BAs are incapable of delineating the somatotopic detail reflected in other research (Blankenburg et al., 2003). And, although invasive approaches have proven reliable in the discovery of functional parcellation in the past, such approaches are marked by their irreversibility which, according to ethical standards, makes them unsuitable for scientific inquiry. Therefore, it is necessary to develop non-invasive approaches to parcellate functional brain regions.

In the present study, a non-invasive and task-free approach to parcellate the sensorimotor cortex with resting-state fMRI was developed. This approach used functional connectivity patterns of brain areas in order to delineate functional subregions as connectivity-based parcellations (Wig et al., 2014). We selected two adjacent BAs (BA 3 and BA 4) from a standard template to cover the area along the
central sulcus (Eickhoff et al., 2005). Then subregions within this area were generated using resting-state fMRI data. These subregions were organized somatotopically from medial-dorsal to ventral-lateral (corresponding roughly to the face, hand and foot regions, respectively) by comparing them with the activity maps obtained by using independent motor tasks. Interestingly, resting-state parcellation map demonstrated higher correspondence to the task-based divisions after individuals had performed motor tasks. We also observed higher functional correlations between the hand area and the foot and tongue area, respectively, than between the foot and tongue regions. The functional relevance of those subregions indicates the feasibility of a wide range of potential applications to brain mapping (Nebel et al., 2014).

In sum, the present thesis provides an investigation of functional network, functional structure, and properties of the sensorimotor cortex by state-of-art neuroimaging technology. The methodology and the results of the thesis hope to carry on the future research of the sensorimotor system.
Abbreviations

BA  Brodmann areas
BOLD  blood-oxygen level dependent
CS  central sulcus
DWI  diffusion-weighted imaging
FC  functional connectivity
fMRI  functional magnetic resonance imaging
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Chapter 1: Introduction

The sensorimotor system can be divided into two distinct, yet related faculties: the integration and processing of somatosensory information (Riemann & Lephart, 2002), alongside the modulation and control of motor functions (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937). Even after having been investigated for decades, the anatomical and functional characteristics of this system in humans are still not yet fully understood. This is due, in part, to the methodologies that have been used, which tend to be prohibitively invasive for in vivo human experiments, for instance, stimulating the cortical surface with electrical probes or cytoarchitecture examination (Brodmann, 1909; Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Geyer et al., 1999).

In the present thesis, a non-invasive parcellation of the sensorimotor cortex is introduced, providing a novel approach to developing a more comprehensive, in-vivo understanding of the sensorimotor system in humans.

1.1 The human sensorimotor cortex

Anatomically, the sensorimotor area is located along the central sulcus of the human cerebral cortex (Fig. 1). As the system’s functional roles would suggest, the sensorimotor cortex is often divided into two distinct regions: the primary motor cortex (MI), which is located towards the anterior bank to the central sulcus (precentral gyrus) (Campbell, 1905), and the primary somatosensory cortex (SI) located at the posterior bank to the central sulcus (postcentral gyrus), reaching to the postcentral sulcus (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937). Within one widely used cytoarchitecture-based atlas (Brodmann, 1909), MI is found within Brodmann area 4 (BA 4), and SI is found in BAs 1, 2, and 3 (Vogt. & Vogt., 1919). Other investigators have further subdivided BA 3 into BA 3a and BA 3b (Vogt. & Vogt., 1919; Geyer et al., 1999; Geyer et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). It should be noted here, that Kaas and colleagues have argued that only BA 3 should be assigned as primary SI (“SI proper”) (Kaas, 1983). For our study here, we chose BA 3 and BA 4 as our ”operational definition” of the sensorimotor cortex.
An important property of SI and MI relevant to the present thesis is their somatotopic organization (Fig. 2). Several researchers had found that sub-regions related to body-parts are distributed from dorsal to lateral along the central gyrus; for example, cortical areas corresponding to the foot are located superiorly and medially while hand areas are located more laterally (Fig. 2) (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Kaas, 1983; Okada et al., 1984). It was this finding that inspired the idea to detect subregions of the sensorimotor area by its functional architecture.
Figure 2. The somatotopic organization of the sensorimotor cortex is presented. SI and MI share very similar organizations. Different areas present the parts of the body and locate from ventral-medial to dorsal-lateral from superior to inferior of the brain. The figure is adapted from the website ‘http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Consciousness_Studies/The_Neuroscience_Of _Consciousness’ and the original book (Penfield. & Rasmussen., 1950).

1.2 Parcellation of the sensorimotor cortex

Generally, parcellation of the cerebral cortex has included examination of its structural properties, functional localization, pathway connections, and topographic mapping (Cohen et al., 2008). One of the oldest and most reliable methods for detecting anatomically and physiologically distinct cortical sub-regions was through physically manipulating the postmortem brain; cutting slices of cortex in order to examine, by microscope, the cytoarchitectures which could be used to delineate different brain regions (Brodmann, 1909; Vogt. & Vogt., 1919; Economo, 1926; Sanides, 1970). While these studies laid the foundation for understanding human brain anatomy, these methods are limited in their ability to reveal the functioning of living brains as these are. These approaches are also, quite obviously, invasive approaches not suitable for studies of living people.
In recent years, non-invasive imaging technology has proven indispensable in advancing our understanding of the living brain, while largely reaffirming the pioneering work of the early neuroanatomists. Using differences, for example, in myelin content and cortical thickness, some borders between Brodmann areas have been identified with high field (e.g. 7 Tesla) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Geyer et al., 2011; Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., 2014). While some structural features can be identified by high field MRI, until recently it was not clear whether the sensorimotor cortex could also be parcellated by resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) according to functional features.

1.3 Resting-state fMRI analysis

In the present thesis, resting-state fMRI has been employed to investigate the sensorimotor cortex. While it is just one method available to the fMRI community, rs-fMRI has garnered increasing attention among neuroscientists (Fox & Raichle, 2007) in recent years. This is in part because of the ease with which data can be acquired; participants need not to perform any tasks during scanning, but are rather instructed to remain awake while looking at a fixation screen. The task independent nature of the data, then, allows neuroscientists the potential to use a single data set to test multiple hypotheses. The observation that correlations of low frequency fluctuations (LFF, around 0.01 to 0.08 Hz) of blood-oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signals during task independent scans are statistically significant, as well as physiologically meaningful (Biswal et al., 1995; Cordes et al., 2000), has provided further validation of the method.

1.3.1 Functional connectivity analysis

Functional connectivity (FC) analysis, as developed by Friston and colleagues in 1993, identifies temporal correlation between BOLD signals of two brain regions, and has long been a standard approach to detecting the functional relationships
between different brain regions. Generally, FC analysis is done by a seed-based correlation analysis (i.e., SCA) (Friston et al., 1993; Biswal et al., 1995; Lowe et al., 1998). Brain networks, then, can be detected through the temporal correlation analysis of the BOLD signal between a seed region and other regions in the brain; the regions which show significant temporal correlations with the seed region are considered to belong to the same functional network (Hampson et al., 2002; Greicius et al., 2003; Hampson et al., 2004; Fransson, 2005; Fox & Raichle, 2007).

For instance, when a seed region within the sensorimotor area is selected, the related brain network can be detected by SCA. This approach was widely implemented for detecting changes of brain networks for example due to disease (Li et al., 2002) or stimulations (Hampson et al., 2004). The present thesis will introduce a novel usage of FC analysis.

1.3.2 Connectivity-based parcellation analysis

Connectivity-based parcellation analysis offers a relatively novel, non-invasive approach to identifying anatomically and functionally distinct cortical regions (Fig. 3) (Wig et al., 2014). The central theme is that adjacent voxels, ones which have similar connectivity patterns, should belong to the same subregion. By definition, these regions have a similar function, and so should have similar connectivity patterns as well. Any neuroimaging modalities which can detect connectivity patterns at a millimeter scale are suitable for this analysis. Relevant technologies would then be, for example, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) which tracks fiber orientations to detect connectivity of white matter (Johansen-Berg et al., 2004; Anwander et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013; Ruschel et al., 2013), and fMRI BOLD signals that can build correlations between voxels (Margulies et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2008; Margulies et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013).
Figure 3. A scheme of connectivity-based parcellation based on fMRI data. The subregions (red and blue color indicates different subregions in the lower left image) can be detected within the selected brain region (red color in the upper left image) through this procedure. All fMRI images are displayed in the axial view.

Rather than performing specific tasks to identify functional subregions, as has traditionally been the case with fMRI data acquisition, resting-state connectivity-based methods provide a task-free and simple approach to address wide ranging scientific topics (Knosche & Tittgemeyer, 2011; Cloutman & Lambon Ralph, 2012; de Reus & van den Heuvel, 2013).

Connectivity-based parcellation with resting-state fMRI data has been widely implemented to parcellate many cerebral regions, for instance, Broca area (Kelly et al., 2010), the primary motor cortex (Gorbach et al., 2011; Nebel et al., 2014), lateral frontal cortex (Goulas et al., 2012), precuneus area (Margulies et al., 2009; Zhang & Li, 2012), lateral parietal cortex (Nelson et al., 2010), anterior cingulate cortex (Margulies et al., 2007), and supplementary motor area (Kim et al., 2010).
Especially relevant to the present thesis, resting-state functional connectivity-based parcellation analysis has already proven to be an effective tool in the detection of subregions within the sensorimotor cortex. The somatotopic organization across bilateral hemispheres within the sensorimotor cortex was confirmed by resting-state functional connectivity analysis (van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010). The voxels which located from dorsal-medial to ventral-lateral along the central sulcus showed one-to-one relationships. Diffusion imaging and resting-state connectivity-based parcellation analysis found four or five subregions within MI or SI (Fig. 4) which visually resemble the body parts distribution expected along central sulcus (Roca et al., 2010). Interestingly, studies have presented similar distributions of activation areas of foot, hand and tongue within the sensorimotor cortex (Buckner et al., 2011; Yeo et al., 2011). It seems that the organization of the connectivity patterns within the sensorimotor cortex correspond more closely to functional features (somatotopy) than cytoarchitectural features (e.g., Brodmann areas).

Figure 4. Subregions within MI or SI were identified by previous studies. On the left, results by Roca et al., 2010 which were obtained with DWI are displayed. Different colors indicate the different clusters within SI. On the right results by Nebel et al., 2014 are shown which were obtained with resting state fMRI. Different clusters within MI are indicated by different colors.
Previous studies reported subregions within the sensorimotor cortex based on task-free parcellation, however, they did not provide any validation of the reported (presumable somatotopic) arrangement (e.g., Nebel et al., 2014). The present thesis begins at this juncture: to determine whether the reported subregions discerned through resting-state fMRI data is consistent with the somatotopic arrangement of those body parts. For this purpose, we parcellated the sensorimotor cortex with resting-state fMRI data, then performed an activation-based fMRI during motor tasks in order to obtain cerebral activation areas corresponding to different body parts. We hypothesized that the subregions based on resting-state fMRI data corresponded to the functional somatotopic arrangement as identified by task-based fMRI.
Chapter 2: Publication


European Journal of Neuroscience (impact factor in 2013: 3.75).


Functional connectivity-based parcellation of the human sensorimotor cortex

Xiangyu Long, Dominique Goltz, Daniel S. Margulies, Till Nierhaus and Arno Villringer

Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
Institute of Psychology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
Max Planck Research Group: Neuroanatomy & Connectivity, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
Mind–Brain Institute at Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin and Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany

Keywords: post-task effect, resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging, sensorimotor cortex, somatotopic, task-based

Abstract
Task-based functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has been successfully employed to obtain somatotopic maps of the human sensorimotor cortex. Here, we showed through direct comparison that a similar functional map can be obtained, independently of a task, by performing a connectivity-based parcellation of the sensorimotor cortex based on resting-state fMRI. Cortex corresponding to two adjacent Brodmann areas (BA 3 and BA 4) was selected as the sensorimotor area. Parcellation was obtained along a medial–lateral axis, which was confirmed to be somatotopic (corresponding roughly to an upper, middle and lower limb, respectively) by comparing it with maps obtained using motoric task-based fMRI in the same participants. Interestingly, the resting-state parcellation map demonstrated higher correspondence to the task-based divisions after individuals performed the motor task. Using the resting-state fMRI data, we also observed higher functional correlations between the centrally located hand region and the other two regions, than between the foot and tongue. The functional relevance of these somatosensory parcellation results indicates the feasibility of a wide range of potential applications to brain mapping.

Introduction
Classical approaches for parcellating the cerebral cortex are based on histological features (Brodmann, 1909; Vogt & Vogt, 1919; Economo, 1926; Sanides, 1970; Triarhou, 2007). The high interindividual variability of the anatomical/functional areas and the difficulty of using non-invasive imaging techniques to identify histological features of brain tissue are the main motivations for developing novel parcellation approaches (Amunts et al., 1999; Geyer et al., 2011; Van Essen & Glasser, 2013). With non-invasive functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), the cerebral cortex can be parcellated into different functional units via motivated tasks (Heeger & Ress, 2002). Recently, several groups have developed task-free methods based on measures of structural and functional connectivity for the parcellation of the human cerebral cortex, e.g. Broca area (Anwander et al., 2007; Kelly et al., 2010), lateral premotor cortex (Schubotz et al., 2010), temporal pole (Fan et al., 2013), thalamus (Serra et al., 2013), cingulate cortex (Beckmann et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2011), primary motor (MI) area (Gobach et al., 2011; Nebel et al., 2012), medial frontal cortex (Johansen-Berg et al., 2004), frontal pole (Liu et al., 2013), lateral frontal cortex (Goulas et al., 2012), inferior parietal cortex (Ruschel et al., 2013), precuneal cortex (Margulies et al., 2009; Zhang & Li, 2012), lateral parietal cortex (Nelson et al., 2010), anterior cingulate cortex (Margulies et al., 2007) supplementary motor area (Kim et al., 2010) and primary somatosensory (SI) cortex (Roca et al., 2010). The advantage of these methods is that no modality-specific tasks are necessary to identify functional subregions, making it an ideal approach for characterising brain organisation in clinical and longitudinal studies (Knochel & Tittgemeyer, 2011; de Reus & van den Heuvel, 2013; Wig et al., 2013b).

We aimed to parcellate the human sensorimotor cortex, consisting of the contiguous MI and SI areas, into functionally meaningful subunits using resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) data. Based on their cytoarchitecture, the MI includes Brodmann area (BA) 4 (Campbell, 1905), and the SI includes BA 3, BA 1 and BA 2 (Brodmann, 1909; Vogt & Vogt, 1919; Geyer et al., 1999, 2000). The sensorimotor cortex presented somatotopic organisation, with subregions relating to different body parts (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Kaas et al., 1979; Okada et al., 1984). This organisation within the SI/MI was also found via multiple motor/tactile tasks (Rao et al., 1995; Stippich et al., 1999; Lotze et al., 2000; Meier et al., 2008; Buckner et al., 2011).

Recently, the subregions within the sensorimotor cortex were found to be organised ventral-to-lateral using rs-fMRI or diffusion-weighted imaging (van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010; Roca...
et al., 2010; Cauda et al., 2011; Yeo et al., 2011; Jo et al., 2012; Nebel et al., 2012). Whether the extent of parcellations based on rs-fMRI data spatially corresponds to these somatotopic subdivisions remains to be quantitatively assessed. In the present study, we assessed whether the parcellation of the primary sensorimotor cortex using rs-fMRI corresponds to the representations of upper–middle–lower limb segmentation.

Materials and methods

Data

Two datasets were included in the present study (Dataset I and II). Each dataset was composed of rs-fMRI and motor task fMRI data for the aim of comparing the results of functional connectivity-based parcellation with the task-induced activation related to the movement of body parts. Dataset I included one resting-state session that was scanned after a task session in order to investigate the post-task effect on the parcellation analysis.

Dataset I: Max Planck Institute

Participants

Nine right-handed adult participants were enrolled in the present study at the Max Planck Institute (MPI) for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences in Leipzig (two females; age 27 ± 2.12 years). All participants were enrolled from the local university and had no history of any psychiatric or neurological disease. The present study was approved by the local ethics committee and written informed consent was given by each participant. The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki), printed in the British Medical Journal (18 July 1964), was followed by the present study.

Magnetic resonance imaging data acquisition

All data were acquired using a 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging system (Verio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32-channel head-coil. The common parameters for all fMRI sessions were as follows: gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence, interleaved slice-timing, gap, 1 mm; repetition time (TR), 2 s; echo time (TE), 30 ms; flip angle, 90°; matrix size, 64 × 64. For each participant, five fMRI sessions were acquired with a break of 10 s in between (Fig. 1C). The first two sessions and the fourth session were resting-state sessions of 6 min each. The third and fifth sessions were motor-task sessions of 12 min each. For the resting-state sessions, 30 axially-oriented slices over the whole brain were collected with a voxel size of 3 × 3 × 4.8 mm. For the task sessions, 20 coronally-oriented slices with a voxel size of 2 × 2 × 2.2 mm were obtained surrounding the central sulcus (Fig. 1A). The parameters for the magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE) T1-weighted image sequence were: 12-channel coil, flip angle, 9°; 176 slices; TR, 2300 ms; TE, 2.96 ms; voxel size, 1 × 1 × 1 mm; matrix size, 240 × 256; inversion time, 900 ms. Visual projection was by a mirror fixed to the head-coil and a projector located outside the scanner room.

Participants lay supine in the scanner with their head fixed using foam pads to minimise head motion. During the three resting-state sessions (i.e. Rest1, Rest2 and Rest3), participants were instructed to focus on a white fixation cross with a black background, and to remain motionless and not think of anything in particular. Rest3 was scanned after the first task session for the post-task effect investigation.

During the task session (i.e. Task1 and Task2), participants were asked to perform a movement task (Fig. 1B). Each block included 25 s of active movement and 15 s of rest. There were three types of movements in this task: ‘tapping right fingers’, ‘moving tongue within the mouth’, and ‘moving right foot and toes’. All movements were performed at a self-defined pace throughout the active block. The German word for the respective body part (‘Hand’, ‘Fuß’ or ‘Zunge’) was displayed in place of the cross to indicate which body part to move. The word was projected in white with a black background in the center of the mirror. For each body part, six blocks were counterbalanced.

Dataset II: Human Connectome Project

A second dataset was provided by the Human Connectome Project (HCP), supported by the WU–Minn Consortium and McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University (Van Essen et al., 2013). The dataset consisted of 68 left-handed and right-handed healthy participants (50 females) in the HCP quarter 1 (released online: March 2013). Thirty-six participants (18 females, age 26–35 years) were selected for the present study, with specific attention paid to matching male and female participants.

For each participant, one rs-fMRI session (with left-to-right direction phase encoding) and the motor task fMRI session were analysed. The scan parameters of the rs-fMRI data were: TR, 720 ms; TE, 33.1 ms; flip angle, 52°; field of view,
208 × 180 mm; slice thickness, 2.0 mm; 72 slices; voxels isotropic, 2.0 mm; multiband factor, 8; echo spacing, 0.58 ms; Bandwidth (BW), 2290 Hz/Px; time points, 1200 (Feinberg et al., 2010; Moeller et al., 2010; Setsompop et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2012). The task paradigm was similar to that used in the acquisition of the MPI dataset. Participants were asked to move their right or left hand, toes and tongue with 12-s blocks and a 3-s cue. A total of 284 time points were obtained during the motor task session.

Analysis

Dataset I: Max Planck Institute

All images were preprocessed as follows using SPM5 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/): slice-timing correction, motion correction, spatial normalisation to the Montreal Neurological Institute 152 space, sampled to 3 × 3×3 mm voxel size, and spatial smoothing with 6-mm full-width at half-maximum of the Gaussian kernel. All participants had < 1 voxel translation (3 mm) or 1° rotation head motion. For the resting-state data, the REST toolbox (www.restfmri.net/) was used with the following parameters: (i) band-pass filtering (0.01–0.08 Hz); (ii) removing the linear trend of time courses; and (iii) regressing out six head motion parameters, average time courses from a white matter mask and a cerebral spinal fluid mask (Fig. 2).

Task-based analysis

The activation maps of each body part were generated using a general linear model analysis in SPM5. A one-sample t-test was performed on the beta intensity maps across participants for each body part. All t-maps were thresholded at $p < 0.05$ using AlphaSim in AFNI (Cox, 1996).

Connectivity-based parcellation analysis

The connectivity-based parcellation analysis was the same for all three resting-state sessions (see Fig. 2).

1 Considering the anatomical variations across participants and the spatial resolution of fMRI data, we selected an area including both the MI and SI along the central sulcus. A template mask including the left BA 4 and BA 3 from the Anatomy toolbox was selected as the region of interest (ROI; Fig. 2-1; Geyer et al., 1999, 2000; Eickhoff et al., 2005).

2 Spatial correlation maps between each voxel within the ROI were calculated and transformed using Fisher’s r-to-z (Vincent et al., 2007; Yeo et al., 2011; Fig. 2-2).

3 An eta2 value was then calculated for each pair of voxels’ z-transformed connectivity maps, generating a similarity matrix (Fig. 2–3) for each individual (Cohen et al., 2008). Group-level analysis was conducted on data averaged across each resting-state session from each participant separately.

4 Finally, both the individual similarity matrix and group-averaged matrix were classified into between two and 10 clusters by spectral K-means using the multicut clustering algorithm (Fig. 2-4/5; with 1000 iterations using the Spectral Clustering Toolbox; Meila & Shi, 2001; Kelly et al., 2010).

5 The optimal number of clusters was investigated using two approaches at the group level. The Dice coefficient (DC; from 0 to 1, where 1 is the highest overlap) was calculated for each $k$'s

Fig. 2. The processing steps for task and resting-state data. 5 steps are displayed for the parcellation analysis: 1) The ROI selection; 2) The functional connectivity analysis; 3) Generating the similarity matrix; 4) and 5) Generating the parcellation maps. The black frame indicates the results, and the blue frame indicates the processing methods. The task images provided activation areas for foot, hand and tongue. From the resting-state images, clusters were generated based on the correlation maps of voxels within the ROI. The results of the task and resting-state sessions were further analysed for similarity using the DC. FC, functional connectivity; GLM, general linear model.
solution between the first and second resting-state sessions to test the stability of each $k$ (Dice, 1945; Nebel et al., 2012). In addition to the DC calculation, the silhouette was also calculated for the three MPI resting-state sessions (Kelly et al., 2010). The silhouette value is a ratio between the similarity of a voxel to others within the same cluster and to those outside it. A higher silhouette indicated higher reliability of the clusters.

Assessment of correspondence between task and resting state

Three assessments evaluated the spatial correspondence between task-derived regions and the resting-state clusters.

Group-level analysis

A winner-take-all (WTA) analysis was performed on the group-level t-maps of two task sessions derived from the foot, hand and tongue within the left BA 3 and BA 4 ROI (Meier et al., 2008). A voxel was assigned as one limb area if the $t$-value of one body part was higher than the others. The overlap ratio was then calculated between the WTA map and the three-cluster parcellation map from three resting-state sessions. The DC was also calculated between WTA maps of the two task sessions. Here the overlap ratio between two maps that both had three clusters was not calculated by cluster as the normal DC. As both maps had the same number of clusters and the same ROI size, the overlap ratio was calculated by summing the number of overlap voxels for each pair of clusters, and then dividing it by the number of voxels within the whole ROI.

Individual-level analysis

WTA analysis was performed on each individual’s Task1 t-maps of the foot, hand and tongue within the ROI. For each $k$ solution, the DC was calculated between the area of the WTA map and the clusters from the Rest1 parcellation map. The three highest cluster pairs were averaged. The parcellation map with the highest average DC is presented (Fig. 5). In addition, the averaged maximum DC value between the Task1 WTA maps and the parcellation map of the Rest2 and Rest3 was also calculated for each participant.

Within each cluster of the resting-state parcellation map ($k = 3$ for each session), the averaged and SD values of Task1’s group-level t-maps (foot, hand and tongue) were calculated.

Assessing relationships between body parts

For the MPI data, a 6-mm radius sphere was created for the representations of each body part centered on the group-level peak voxel from each: foot: $[-3, -24, 75]$; hand: $[-39, -21, 60]$; tongue: $[-54, -9, 33]$, MNI standard space. For each participant, the average time series within each sphere were correlated from the three resting-state sessions.

Three clusters, which were selected from the parcellation maps of each resting-state session, were used as the upper, middle and lower limb regions. The correlation coefficients between these clusters were then calculated (in Fig. 3B: red cluster, lower limb region; green cluster, middle limb region; yellow cluster, upper limb region). For both correlation coefficients (one obtained from Task1 and the other from resting-state clusters), a paired $t$-test between middle–lower (i.e. hand–foot), lower–upper (i.e. foot–tongue) and middle–upper (i.e. hand–tongue) was performed across participants.

Dataset II: Human Connectome Project

All fMRI data were first preprocessed in the HCP pipeline using FSL (FMRIB Software Library, Woolrich et al., 2001; Jenkinson et al., 2002, 2012; Fischl, 2012; Glasser et al., 2013). For the resting-state data, the REST toolbox was used as described for the MPI dataset: band-pass filtering, removing linear trend of time courses, regressing out six head motion parameters, average time courses from a white matter mask and cerebral spinal fluid mask. For the task data, only the activation of the right hand, right foot and tongue were selected. The WTA map was created based on the t-map of these three body parts.

The parcellation analysis for the HCP quarter 1 data was performed in the same way as for the MPI data. The BA 3/4 ROI was used. The similarity matrix for each participant was generated via the connectivity-based analysis. Three clusters were detected on the group-level averaged similarity matrix using the spectral K-means approach. The DC between the WTA map and group-level parcellation map was calculated.

Results

Activation areas for body parts

The general linear model analysis of the task sessions revealed activation areas for the hand, foot and tongue for both task sessions. Here we only focus on activations within the SI and MI. Owing to the sensory aspect implicit in any motor task, the SI and MI were both activated. The foot region was detected in the left paracentral lobule, the hand region in the left pre/postcentral gyrus, and the tongue region in the bilateral inferior post/precentral gyrus (Fig. 3A). The activation areas for all body parts were consistent across both task sessions. In addition, the upper–middle–lower limb segmentation was represented in the WTA map (Fig. 3B). The DC between two WTA maps of two task sessions was 0.9516.

Clustering of resting-state data

Three subregions were found on the group-level connectivity-based parcellation analysis using the resting-state data (Fig. 3B, second row). Consistent with hypotheses of somatotopic organisation, the superior-most region was located ventromedially, a second region was located dorsolaterally and a third region was located inferolaterally. This spatial distribution was similar across three resting-state sessions. This somatotopic organisation was also found in the parcellation maps with different cluster numbers (Fig. 3C).

The Dice coefficients (DCs) between the parcellation maps of the two resting-state sessions indicated that high stability was found when $k$ was 3 (Fig. 4A). Across the three resting-state sessions, the silhouette value was the highest when $k$ was 2, and the second highest value in $k$ was 3. All silhouette values did not change dramatically across all $k$ solutions (Fig. 4B).

Correspondence between task regions and resting-state subregions

At the group-level, resting-state subregions corresponded to the sub-regions observed in the task-based WTA map. All three parcellation maps demonstrated a high DC ($> 0.75$) with the task’s WTA map (Fig. 3B). Individual DCs between the parcellation map from the Rest1 and the WTA map from Task1 are shown in Fig. 5A. All
participants’ maximum overlap DC ratios were greater than 0.55. The highest DC in several individuals was observed for \( k > 3 \) due to the detection of small clusters (e.g. s04 and s07) in the parcellation analysis.

The averaged and SD values of three t-maps of Task1 within each cluster are presented in Fig. 6. Each cluster from resting-state data had the highest value to the t-map from only one body part. Their spatial distribution was also somatotopically organised.

**Post-task effect on the parcellation analysis**

At the group level, the parcellation map of Rest3 presented the highest DC with Task1’s WTA map (Fig. 3B). At the individual level, an increased trend of DC was observed from Rest1/Rest2 to Rest3 (Fig. 5B). Rest3 presented a significantly higher DC than Rest2 and Rest1 (\( P < 0.05 \)) across participants. No significant difference was found between Rest1 and Rest2 (Fig. 5B).

**Relationships between three body parts**

The hand–foot, hand–tongue and foot–tongue correlation, using the task-peak sphere and the clusters from the parcellation analysis, were also examined across three resting-state sessions (Table 1, left). Both the hand–foot and hand–tongue correlation were significantly higher than the foot–tongue correlation across participants and the first two resting-state sessions. Moreover, there was no significant difference between the hand–foot and hand–tongue correlation (Table 1, right).

**Results from the Human Connectome Project data**

The activity areas of the right foot, right hand and tongue were similar to those found in the MPI dataset (Fig. 7A), and the somatotopic organisation was also observed. High correspondence between the task WTA map and resting-state parcellation map was detected (Fig. 7B; DC = 0.8441).
regions were subdivided into three clusters, depending on the individual dataset, from dorsomedial to ventrolateral along the central gyrus (Fig. 3). Considering the spatial resolution of fMRI and the variation of anatomical structure near the central sulcus across participants, we applied the connectivity-based parcellation analysis on the conjunct of the MI and SI. Before the present study, several previous studies had also found similar distribution of clusters within the MI and SI by connectivity-based parcellation analysis (Roca et al., 2010; Schubotz et al., 2010; Gorbach et al., 2011; Nebel et al., 2012). Although the number of clusters was variable, dorsomedial–ventrolateral organisation was a common feature across these studies. The whole-brain voxel-wise connectivity-based parcellation analysis also detected two parts of the somatomotor area, dividing it into a lower face and upper hand–foot region during rest (Yeo et al., 2011). Our study confirmed that this somatotopic organisation could be found using rs-fMRI data, and this organisation was reliable across different resting-state sessions and datasets. Importantly, we validated the somatotopic arrangement by showing the correlation between subregions identified by resting-state-based parcellation and representations of body parts as identified by task-based fMRI. Different body sectors seem to have characteristic functional connectivity features along the central gyrus that have separable domains (van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010).

**Resting-state clusters and activations related to different body parts**

We compared resting-state clusters and activation areas from the task (Figs 3 and 6). For both datasets, firstly, three clusters were found in the group-level analysis. These clusters were equally distributed and displayed high correspondence with the WTA map derived from the tasks (Figs 3 and 6). A high overlap (DC = 0.75 for the MPI dataset; DC = 0.8441 for the HCP quarter 1 dataset) confirmed three distinguishable areas using two different fMRI modalities. Similar results were also found at the individual level (Fig. 4A). The averaged value within each resting-state cluster showed a good one-to-one correlation with the respective cerebral representations of the different body parts (Fig. 5). Previous studies had reported that the motor cortex consists of three separate sectors: lower body, middle section and head (Meier et al., 2008; Yeo et al., 2011; Weiss et al., 2012). According to our approach, these three sectors could be distinguished from the others based only on the resting-state functional connectivity patterns within the sensorimotor cortex.

**The post-task effect to the parcellation analysis**

The Rest3 session was scanned after the Task1 session. Interestingly, the parcellation maps of Rest3 showed higher correspondence with the Task1 WTA map than the other two resting-state sessions at both the group- and individual-level. It was reported that the resting-state needs a period of time to return to a task-free baseline state after the performance of tasks (Barnes et al., 2009), and motor learning can affect subsequent activity within resting-state networks (Albert et al., 2009). The repetitive movements of alternate body parts could be considered as a simple training task, and this task affected the sensorimotor cortex functional organisation in the following resting state as the gap between Task1 and Rest3 was short (around 20 s). The subdivisions of the sensorimotor cortex might consolidate and vary through motor-training tasks (Taubert et al., 2011; Tung et al., 2013). It was also possible that participants

**Discussion**

We observed that rs-fMRI-based parcellation of the sensorimotor cortex, corresponding to BA 3 and BA 4, identifies somatotopically arranged clusters in two independent datasets. These clusters correspond spatially to a somatotopic representation of upper–middle–lower limb segmentation, as identified by task-based fMRI. Interestingly, the resting-state parcellation map demonstrated higher correspondence to the task-based divisions after individuals performed a motor task.

**Somatotopic organisation revealed by resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging**

We found somatotopic organisation within the left sensorimotor cortex. Precentral and postcentral gyrus (motor and somatosensory

---

**Fig. 4.** (A) The DC value between the parcellation maps of Rest1 and Rest2 across different k. Each diamond shape represents one selection of k for the DC calculation between two close resting-state sessions’ parcellation maps. (B) The silhouette value of the detected clusters across three resting-state sessions. The mean and SD value are presented. The solid line indicates the mean value, and the dashed line indicates the SD.
imagined the previous motor tasks during the resting session, thereby activating it (Stippich et al., 2002). Duff et al. (2008) found that the task-activated regions presented increased signal spectral power in the post-task session. To our knowledge, this is the first time that this plasticity effect was detected in the resting-state functional connectivity-based parcellation analysis. We suggested that the functional subregions that were detected during the resting state should take task effects into account. This effect could also lead the morphometry difference between task-based regions and resting-state regions.

For the MPI dataset, each participant performed three different movements engaging the foot, hand, and tongue. The motoric activity was inherently accompanied by sensory stimulation. We asked participants to perform these tasks in two separate sessions, in order to identify whether the activations were robust and consistent. Several studies had previously reported somatotopically arranged functional regions corresponding to the hand, foot and tongue within the

Robust activations corresponding to body parts by movement task

Fig. 5. (A) The parcellation map that gives the highest averaged DC and the WTA map for each participant are displayed. The DC is presented between these two maps. The $k$-solution that had the maximum averaged DC for each participant is indicated below. (B) The averaged maximum DC values between the Task1 WTA maps and resting-state parcellation maps are presented for each participant across three resting-state sessions. One color indicates one participant. The dots represent the averaged maximum DC value for each resting-state session. *Significant difference between sessions ($P < 0.05$). One participant’s WTA map of Task1 and parcellation maps of three resting-state sessions are displayed (i.e. green line in B).
MI and SI using task-based fMRI (Meier et al., 2008; Buckner et al., 2011; Weiss et al., 2012), and the results have been shown to be highly reproducible across different sessions (Weiss et al., 2012). Our results showed similar stability of upper–middle–lower limb segmentations across the two task sessions (Fig. 3). These patterns were also found in the HCP quarter 1 dataset (Fig. 7A). These results provide initial validation for describing the somatotopic arrangement of subareas derived exclusively from rs-fMRI data.

Relationships between representations of body parts

The rs-fMRI data also allowed us to investigate the correlations between the different somatotopically arranged clusters. The ipsilateral right foot–hand and hand–tongue show similar correlation values, which were both higher than the foot–tongue relationship. The foot–tongue presented no significant correlation. This result was similar to the findings of Yeo et al. (2011). They found a higher correlation between the right foot–hand than between hand–tongue, and the lowest correlation between foot–tongue. Unlike the current findings, Yeo et al. (2011) found the foot–tongue to have a significant correlation. Although these different results may be explained by the substantially larger sample size (n = 1000) in the study of Yeo et al. (2011), the ranking of the three pairs was nonetheless consistent.

Although the spatial proximity of hand, foot, and tongue representation in the brain may contribute to the current findings, the
correlation of the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal between body parts may also be explained by basic motor habits. The hands and feet present high interlimb coordination (Swinnen & Carson, 2002), and hand and tongue coordination is frequently necessary, e.g. during talking and eating (Gentilucci & Campione, 2011). In contrast, the foot and tongue are rarely behaviorally coupled. Spontaneous movements (or movement inhibition) in the magnetic resonance imaging scanner could also contribute to our findings.

Limitations

Although a ‘standard’ spatial resolution of 3 mm$^3$ was used in the present study, it might not be precise enough to differentiate small anatomical units in sensorimotor areas. In our parcellation analysis, boundaries were only detected between upper, middle and lower limb, which was confirmed by the dominant activation area of foot, hand and tongue. These three sections might also be activated by movement of other body parts, e.g. arm and lips, which was beyond the scope of the current study. The segments also have smaller units such as toes and fingers, which could be detected by fMRI (Blankenburg et al., 2003; Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., 2012). In future studies, a higher spatial resolution could allow for a higher number of parcellations targeting smaller functional units. Also, the peak activity area for a specific body part, such as the tip of the index finger, could help in investigating resting-state subregions, rather than boundary detection from WTA analysis of task images (Meier et al., 2008).

The estimation of the optimal number of clusters in the sensorimotor cortex required a priori knowledge and remains a methodological challenge. To date, several studies have taken the measure of cluster stability across bilateral areas (Kahnt et al., 2012), sessions (Nebel et al., 2012) and individuals (Schubotz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013) to select the optimal number of clusters. Cluster parameters such as silhouette have also been employed for cluster number validation (Kelly et al., 2010). In the present study, the three body parts investigated limited the desired cluster number. Despite a range of cluster numbers investigated, we focused here on the three-cluster solution to match the number of activated areas.

The method that we performed was based on functional connectivity features from the BOLD signal correlation. The results represent somatotopic separation rather than the separation of cytoarchitectonically defined areas, i.e. BA 3a, BA 3b and BA 4 (Geyer et al., 1999, 2000; Wig et al., 2013a). Ongoing lines of research to identify BA regions in the SI through in vivo imaging (Geyer et al., 2011) and functional connectivity analysis in the SI with ultra-high field imaging in monkey (Wang et al., 2013) may also provide helpful tools for the validation of rs-fMRI-based parcellation approaches in the future.

Conclusions

We were able to identify segregated functional regions within the left MI/SI using rs-fMRI and validate its somatotopic arrangement through comparison to task-based activations with two independent datasets. The postfocal of the motor task on the resting-state parcellation map was observed. This methodology may also be applicable to clinical investigation requiring the identification of different body parts. The question remains regarding the level of detail that rs-fMRI may be able to provide for characterising functional regions. Improvements in spatial resolution will enable the investigation of unique dynamics between the MI and SI, as well as potentially further subdivisions consistent with cytoarchitectonic areas.
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Chapter 3: Outlook

The present thesis outlines a non-invasive, *in vivo* method to delineate subregions within the sensorimotor cortex. By validating this data with task-based motor activation of these regions, results indicate that functional subregions of the hand, foot and tongue within the sensorimotor cortex are in fact revealed by resting-state fMRI data. Because of the reliability and ease with which this data can be acquired, the present thesis points towards a reliable method which would be applicable for both individual, clinical settings, as well as the analysis of large scale data sets. These possibilities are presented in more detail below.

As previous studies have shown, each part of the human body is related to different sensorimotor areas (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Kaas *et al.*, 1979; Okada *et al.*, 1984). For instance, a set of brain areas which were active during the electrical stimulation from fingertip to palm of hand (Fig. 1) was somatotopically organized from rostral to caudal in SI (Blankenburg *et al.*, 2003). Since the region for hand was detected in the present analysis, it may be possible to detect regions with even finer spatial resolution (e.g., fingers) via connectivity-based parcellation analysis (Sanchez-Panchuelo *et al.*, 2012). Future work will be dedicated to building a more comprehensive map of the human body.
Figure 1. Previous studies have detected sets of body parts in the sensorimotor cortex using task-based fMRI. The image on the left presents several activation regions corresponding to areas from the tip of the finger to the palm arranged orderly within a Brodmann area and mirrored onto the adjacent Brodmann area (adapted from Blankenburg et al., 2003). The image on the right shows activation areas of different body parts. The image is adapted from Meier and colleagues’ study (Meier et al., 2008).

A somatotopic atlas of the human body could provide complimentary information about human brain function alongside other anatomy-based templates (Rorden & Brett, 2000; Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) as well as the possibility for creating population-level ROIs for researchers. Similarly, studies interested in the plasticity of somatotopic arrangement of body parts in the cortex, or their development, could be performed easily and conveniently.

While there is a remarkable differentiation between bodily regions in the present study, distinctions between sensory and motor regions—which could be expected based on the cytoarchitectonics—are not observed. In previous studies this subdivision between motor and somatosensory cortex was also difficult to detect through rs-fMRI (Long et al., 2014; Nebel et al., 2014), as well as DWI (Roca et al., 2010). To date, boundaries between BAs within the sensorimotor cortex have only
been detected in vivo by ultra-high-field MRI scanner, through variations of myelination or cortical thickness changes (Geyer et al., 2011; Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., 2014).

Future research might combine different approaches for parcellation. For example, in a first step BA 4 and BA 3 might be parcellated based on structural MRI and in a second step somatotopy within BA 4 and BA 3, respectively, might be identified using resting state fMRI. Such experiments will almost certainly need to be carried out at a higher magnetic field than the present study, i.e., 7 Tesla. Pre-processing methods may also play a role in the perceived functional overlap between these regions.

There may also be clinical applications for resting-state connectivity-based analysis of fMRI data. To mention just one example: Children with autism in one study (Nebel et al., 2014) were shown to have an atypical somatotopic organization. In the future, similar rs-fMRI analysis may be employed in order to investigate organization changes of functional subregions in other brain disorders. Due to the method’s ease and reliability, detection of somatotopic subregions may become useful for preoperative mapping in neurosurgery as well as for the postoperative assessment of surgical outcome.

An unexpected finding of the present study was the differences between functional connectivity maps before and after performing a task. While these effects did not affect the validity of parcellation, they might be interpreted within the context of brain plasticity, such as the one shown after e.g., motor training (Taubert et al., 2011). The assessment of neuroplasticity effects using rs-fMRI, may prove helpful for the evaluation of rehabilitation after focal brain lesions. To apply rs-fMRI in clinical studies with patients after stroke or head trauma seems a realistic goal for the near future.
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The somatosensory cortex is a brain region with distinct, yet related, functional and anatomical components; the primary motor cortex (MI), responsible for motor control, and the primary somatosensory cortex (SI), responsible for integrating relevant somatosensory information. In human populations, this region has traditionally been identified by the histological features of postmortem brains (e.g., BAs, Brodmann, 1909; Vogt. & Vogt., 1919; Economo, 1926; Sanides, 1970). More specifically, according to Brodmann’s cytoarchitecture atlas, MI is located in BA4, and SI is found throughout BA1, 2, and 3 (Vogt. & Vogt., 1919).

While histological studies have proven invaluable in understanding cortical structure, in order to understand more of the dynamic, functional properties of the human brain, in-vivo studies must be performed which are based on functional properties. By using electrical stimulation on the cortical surface, for example, Penfield and colleagues found a degree of functional specialization of the cortex which was unavailable to histological analysis (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Okada et al., 1984). While these approaches have proven reliable in the discovery of functional and structural parcellation in the past, such approaches are prohibitively invasive. They are also remarkably time intensive, and would never be capable of analyzing whole populations of individuals, and a complete understanding the cortical variances across individuals would be similarly implausible.
Because understanding the structural and functional properties of the sensorimotor cortex is necessary for understanding human development and disease (Taubert et al., 2011, Sehm et al., 2014), there are clear benefits to developing non-invasive approaches to parcellate these brain regions according to their function. Different neuroimaging modalities have been employed to this end, namely functional connectivity (FC) analysis of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) data, which estimates the temporal correlation of Blood Oxygen Level Dependency (BOLD) signals between brain regions (Friston et al., 1993; Biswal et al., 1995; Cordes et al., 2000; Hampson et al., 2002; Hampson et al., 2004; Fransson, 2005; Fox & Raichle, 2007).

More recently, resting state functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (rs-fMRI) has been identified as a highly promising method for implementing a task-free approach to parcellating cortical structures according to their functions. Resting state data provides several key advantages over task-based analysis, namely that ease of acquisition allows for inclusion of broader populations and task-free approaches allow for the production of data that can be used to explore multiple hypotheses. To date, subregions of the somatosensory cortex have already been parcellated by rs-fMRI. These data demonstrate that hand or mouth regions, for example, present patterns similar to the activation regions detected via task-based fMRI (Schubotz et al., 2010; Wig et al., 2014). These previous studies reported, however, did not provide any validation of the reported (presumable somatotopic) arrangement (Nebel et al., 2014).

The present thesis begins at this juncture, and aims to determine whether the subregions discerned through rs-fMRI data are consistent with the somatotopic arrangement of those body parts. For this purpose, rs-fMRI scans were acquired and participants also performed a motor-task in order to obtain cerebral activation areas corresponding to different body parts (i.e., hand, foot and tongue). We hypothesized that the subregions based on rs-fMRI data corresponded to the functional somatotopic arrangement as identified by task-based fMRI.

In order to cover MI and SI, in the present study BA 3 and 4 were selected, as this allowed for the best fit across all participants. Task-induced activity regions of body parts were detected by the general linear model. The subregions within BA 4 and BA 3 were detected by functional connectivity-based parcellation analysis. The
subregions from rs-fMRI corresponded spatially to a somatotopic representation of upper-middle-lower limb segmentation, as identified by task-based fMRI. By quantitative comparison, a high correspondence between task-based subregions and the rs-fMRI subregions was detected on both group level and individual level.

Interestingly, the resting-state parcellation map demonstrated higher correspondence to the task-based divisions after individuals performed the motor tasks. This finding is novel and may reflect an immediate plasticity effect. However, the precise physiological meaning of this post-task effect on resting-state connectivity maps remains unclear at present and has to be investigated in the future. The relationships between different body parts were investigated. The ipsilateral right foot-hand and hand-tongue showed similar correlation values, which were both higher than the foot-tongue relationship. The foot-tongue presented no significant correlations.

There are several directions this line of research may explore in the future. First, rs-fMRI may be used to detect even more fine-scale features of the somatosensory cortex (e.g., sites of the digits within the hand area). This work requires high spatial resolution of the fMRI data, precise generation of the activity maps (Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., 2012), and would allow for the creation of a functional somatotopic atlas. Second, it seems highly promising to combine rs-fMRI with other magnetic resonance imaging methods for delineating Brodmann areas within the sensorimotor cortex in order to achieve a structural/functional parcellation. And third, there is a clinical/neurological perspective: The hope is that the approach presented in this thesis can be used to detect alterations of brain organization in patients and also the effects of therapies.

In summary, this PhD thesis presented a parcellation analysis of the sensorimotor cortex with resting-state fMRI data, validating the results with in-session task-based motor activities. The results confirmed that the somatotopic organization of upper-middle-lower body parts can be detected via resting-state fMRI data. Another finding, novel to the neuroscience community, was an observed post-task effect on resting state connectivity. Future work will be dedicated to detecting finer structures within the somatosensory cortex, working towards a somatotopic map, combining rs-fMRI with other parcellation approaches to achieve a
structural/functional parcellation, and exploring the clinical/neurological application of the present thesis.
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