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Zusammenfassung
COMMA-LIM (Cologne Model of the Middle Atmosphere - Leipzig Institute for Meteorology) ist ein 3D-mechanistisches Gitterpunktsmodell, welches sich von ca. 0 bis 135 km in logarithmischen Druckkoordinaten \( z = -H \ln(p/p_0) \) erstreckt, wobei \( H=7 \) km und \( p_0 \) den Referenzdruck am unteren Rand bezeichnet. Die vertikale Auflösung von COMMA-LIM wurde auf 48 Schichten erhöht. Zugleich wurde die Beschreibung des Strahlungsprozesses verbessert, zusammen mit den Beiträgen zur Temperaturbilanz durch atmosphärische Wellen und Turbulenz. Weitere Veränderungen betreffen die numerische Realisation der horizontalen Diffusion und des Filterproblems. Die Beschreibung ist unterteilt in den dynamischen Teil und die Strahlungsbeträge. Die jahreszeitlichen Klimatologien werden vorgestellt und diskutiert.

Summary
COMMA-LIM (Cologne Model of the Middle Atmosphere - Leipzig Institute for Meteorology) is a 3D-mechanistic gridpoint model extending up from 0 to 135 km with a logarithmic vertical coordinate \( z = -H \ln(p/p_0) \), where \( H=7 \) km and \( p_0 \) is the reference pressure at lower boundary. The resolution of the 24 layer version has been increased to 48 layers and several improvements are made in the parameterisation of radiative processes, heating/cooling due to atmospheric waves and turbulence, as well as in the numerical realization of the horizontal diffusion and filtering. This description is divided into the section describing the changes in the dynamical part and the modifications in radiation routines. After all, the seasonal climatologies will be shown and discussed to demonstrate what the COMMA-LIM is capable of reproducing.

1 Dynamics

The prognostic equations for horizontal wind components and temperature are the Navier-Stokes and energy equations, respectively. Hydrostatic assumption and continuity equation are used for diagnosis (for details see Lange, 2001). As a lower boundary condition a zonally averaged geopotential height at 1000 hPa is included which was obtained from 11-year averaged monthly mean UKMO assimilated data. At the upper boundary the vertical velocity is set to zero. The Rayleigh friction and Newtonian cooling coefficients increase near the upper boundary to suppress the reflection of planetary waves and tides. The different contribution terms are improved, such as impacts due to atmospheric waves, ion drag and turbulence/diffusion.
1.1 Gravity Waves

The gravity wave (GW) parameterising scheme is based on the Lindzen approach, which states that wave breaking occurs when the isentropes first become vertical, with $\partial \theta / \partial z = 0$, thus implying a loss of static stability and the onset of turbulence and mixing [see also Andrews et al. (1987)]. This assumption is improved taking into account possible multiple breaking levels and wave propagation between layers where the wave is saturated, as well as heating/cooling effects due to GW dissipation. The parameterisation is based on an analytical solution (WKB approximation) of the vertical structure equation for the GW in the atmosphere with realistic arbitrary background wind and realistic radiative damping. The Eddy diffusion coefficient is estimated using the idea of GW breaking due to instability proposed by Lindzen (1981).

WKB solution

The linearized set of equations describing the propagation of GW can be written as follows:

$$-i\omega^+ u' - \frac{1}{k_x} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} u' + ik_x \phi' = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial D}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial z},$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z} = \frac{\theta'}{H} \frac{R}{H} \exp(-\frac{\kappa z}{H}),$$

$$ik_x u' + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho u' = 0,$$

$$-i\omega^+ \theta' + \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} u' = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{D}{Pr} \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} - \alpha \theta',$$

where $u'$ and $w'$ are the perturbed horizontal (along the horizontal component of the wave vector) and vertical (positive) velocities, $\phi'$ is the gravity wave geopotential, and $\theta'$ is the perturbed potential temperature; $z = -H \ln(p/p_s)$ is the vertical coordinate, $p_s$ is a standard reference pressure; $\omega^+ = \omega - k_x (\bar{u} \cos \vartheta + \bar{v} \sin \vartheta)$ is an intrinsic frequency of a gravity wave, $k_x$ is the horizontal wave number, $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ are zonal and meridional components of the background wind, $\vartheta$ is the azimuth of GW propagation; $D$ and $\alpha$ are the eddy diffusion and Newtonian cooling coefficients, $H$ is the scale height, $\rho(z) = \rho_s \exp(-z/H)$ is a reference density; $R$ is the gas constant for dry air, $\kappa = R/c_p$, $c_p$ is the specific heat at constant pressure, and $Pr$ is turbulent Prandtl number. Overbars denote the background values averaged over a wave period.

Without dissipation ($D = \alpha = 0$) the set of equations (1)-(4) can be reduced to one equation for the complex amplitude of the perturbed vertical velocity $W(z) = u'(x, z, t) \exp[-i(k_x x - \omega t)]$

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \frac{d}{dz} + \mathcal{M} W(z) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{H}, \quad \mathcal{M} = \frac{N^2 k_x^2}{\omega^+} - \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\omega^+}{\omega^+},$$
and $N^2 = R(\partial \bar{T}/\partial z + \kappa \bar{T}/H)/H$ is the Brunt- Väisälä frequency squared.

In the case of slowly varying media equation (5) has an approximate analytical solution, which can be written as follows (the so called WKB solution):

$$W(z) = W(0)[k_z(0)/k_z(z)]^{1/2} e^{\mp i \int_0^z k_z(z')dz'} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \omega(z')dz'},$$

(6)

where the vertical wavenumber squared is:

$$k_x^2 = \mathcal{M} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z}.$$  

One can show that if $\omega > 0$, the upper sign (plus) in solution (6) corresponds to the downward and the lower one (minus) to the upward propagating GWs.

**First order correction due to dissipative terms**

Assuming that dissipation is weak, we can obtain a first order correction to solution (6). To apply the perturbation theory, we introduce small parameters and reduce the initial set of equations into nondimensional form. Assuming that $k_z \gg 1/H$ (Lindzen, 1981), the initial set of equations (1)-(4) can be written as follows

$$(\varepsilon_u - i\bar{\omega}^+) \bar{u} - \frac{1}{k_x} \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}^+}{\partial \zeta} \bar{w} + i\tilde{k}_x \tilde{\phi} = 0,$$

(7)

$$\frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\zeta} = \frac{R\bar{T}}{gH} \tilde{\theta},$$

(8)

$$i\tilde{k}_x \bar{u} + \left( \frac{d}{d\zeta} - 1 \right) \bar{w} = 0,$$

(9)

$$(\varepsilon_t - i\bar{\omega}^+) \tilde{\theta} + \frac{gH}{RT} \tilde{N}^2 \bar{w} = 0,$$

(10)

where $\varepsilon_u = Dk_x^2/\omega$ and $\varepsilon_t = (Dk_x^2/Pr + \alpha/\omega)$ are small parameters; $\zeta = z/H$ is the nondimensional height; $\bar{\omega}^+ = \omega^+/\omega$, $\tilde{k}_x = k_x H$, $\tilde{N}^2 = N^2/\omega^2$, $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity; and we introduce the following nondimensional amplitudes of perturbations

$$\bar{u}(\zeta) = \frac{\omega u'}{g} \exp[-i(k_x x - \omega t)], \quad \bar{w}(\zeta) = \frac{\omega u'}{g} \exp[-i(k_x x - \omega t)],$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(\zeta) = \frac{\phi'}{gH} \exp[-i(k_x x - \omega t)], \quad \tilde{\theta}(\zeta) = \frac{\theta'}{\theta} \exp[-i(k_x x - \omega t)].$$

Eliminating $\tilde{\theta}$ using (8) and (10), we obtain the perturbed energy equation in terms of the geopotential perturbation

$$(\varepsilon_t - i\bar{\omega}^+) \frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\zeta} + \tilde{N}^2 \bar{w} = 0.$$

(11)

Solving (7) with respect to $\tilde{\phi}$ and using the linearized continuity equation (9) to eliminate $\bar{u}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\phi} = i \frac{\bar{\omega}^+}{k_x^2} \left[ k_x^2 (1 + i\varepsilon_u) (\frac{d}{d\zeta} - 1) - \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}^+}{\partial \zeta} \right] \bar{w}.$$

(12)
Accounting that \( \tilde{k}_z = k_z H \gg 1 \) and zero order solution (6), we can rewrite (12) as follows

\[
\tilde{\phi} = i \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+}{k_x^2} \left( \frac{d}{d\zeta} - 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}^+} \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}^+}{\partial \zeta} \right) \tilde{\omega} + \frac{i \varepsilon}{\tilde{k}_z} \tilde{\omega}.
\]  

(13)

The first order solution of (11) with respect to \( d\tilde{\phi}/d\zeta \) can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\zeta} = -i \frac{N^2}{\tilde{\omega}^+} (1 - i \varepsilon) \tilde{\omega}.
\]  

(14)

Eliminating \( \tilde{\phi} \) in (14) using (13), we obtain

\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{d}{d\zeta} + \frac{N^2 k_x^2}{\tilde{\omega}^{+2}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}^+} \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}^+}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}^+} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\omega}^+}{\partial \zeta^2} \right) \tilde{\omega} + \frac{\varepsilon_u \tilde{k}_z}{\tilde{\omega}^+} \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\zeta} - \frac{i \varepsilon \tilde{N}^2 k_x^2}{\tilde{\omega}^{+3}} \tilde{\omega} = 0.
\]  

(15)

To obtain the differential equation with real coefficients, we have to rearrange the last term in (15). Accounting that \( \tilde{k}_z \approx \tilde{N} k_{xz} / \tilde{\omega}^+ \gg 1 \) and using the zero order solution (6), we can write the last term in (15) as follows:

\[
- \frac{i \varepsilon_t \tilde{N}^2 k_x^2}{\tilde{\omega}^{+3}} \tilde{\omega} = \frac{\varepsilon_t \tilde{N}^2 k_x^2}{\tilde{\omega}^{+3}} \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\zeta} = \frac{\varepsilon_t \tilde{k}_z}{\tilde{\omega}^+} \frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{d\zeta}.
\]  

(16)

Comparison between the equations of the first order (15) and zero order (5) with accounting of (16) shows that the dissipative terms only change the expression for \( \mathcal{L} \), which in dimensional form can be written as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{H} + \frac{(\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_t) k_z}{\tilde{\omega}^+} = -\frac{1}{H} + \frac{D(1 + 1/Pr) k_z^3}{\tilde{\omega}^+} + \frac{\alpha k_z}{\tilde{\omega}^+}.
\]  

(17)

Accounting \( k_z \approx N k_{xz} / \tilde{\omega}^+ \), we obtain that the dissipative terms in (17) tend to infinity when \( \omega^+ \) tends to zero, i.e., near a critical level. The perturbation approach is applicable only if these dissipative terms are small in comparison with \( 1/H \). Usually, an upward propagating GW does not reach the critical level due to breaking or overturning in result of convective instability (see the next paragraph). Nevertheless, in numerical realization we assume that the wave is near a critical level if \( \alpha k_z / \tilde{\omega}^+ = O(1/H) \).

### Breaking of GWs due to convective instability

Linearized theory is known to give a reasonable representation of even large-amplitude waves observed in the upper atmosphere. It is also used to estimate limits on the maximum amplitudes that such waves can attain (Hodges, 1967, 1969; Lindzen 1968, 1981). Wave overturning (or breaking) due to convective instability occurs if the wave amplitude exceeds a certain limit. In terms of the perturbed potential temperature the breaking condition is \( |\partial \theta'/\partial z| \geq \partial \theta/\partial z \). This creates a convectively unstable situation and a transition from laminar to turbulent regime. To investigate the situation using the obtained analytical solution, we express this condition in terms of the perturbed vertical velocity. Equation (4) without dissipative terms and taking into account that in equation (6) the exponential term with integral of \( k_z \) is the strongest, gives the following approximate relation for breaking conditions

\[
|\partial \theta'/\partial z| / \partial \theta/\partial z = k_z u' / \omega^+ \geq 1.
\]  

(18)
Assuming that eddy diffusion limits the further increase in wave amplitude with height, we obtain the saturation condition in the following form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{k_z |w'|}{\omega^+} \right) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Using solution (6), $k_z = k_x N/\omega^+$, and the first order solution for $\mathcal{L}$ (17), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2H} - \frac{D(1 + 1/Pr) k_z^3}{2 \omega^+} - \frac{\alpha k_z}{2 \omega^+} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Solving (20) with respect to the eddy diffusion coefficient $D$ and using $k_z = k_x N/\omega^+$, we obtain (Schoeberl et al., 1983)

$$D = \frac{\omega^{+4}}{k_x^3 N^3 (1 + 1/Pr)} \left( \frac{1}{H} - \frac{\alpha k_z}{\omega^+} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} \right). \quad (21)$$

**Mean flow acceleration due to dissipation and/or breaking of GWs**

Under breaking conditions GWs accelerate the mean flow due to vertical divergence of the horizontal momentum flux. Usually, following the suggestions by Lindzen (1981), this forcing per unit mass is calculated using the obtained expressions for $D$ (21) and $\mathcal{L}$ (17) and assuming that GWs are under breaking condition everywhere above the first breaking level (Schoeberl et al., 1983; Holton and Zhu, 1984; Hunt, 1986; Jakobs et al., 1986). However, the background wind can substantially influence the propagation conditions of GWs (Pogoreltsev and Pertsev, 1996) and we have to expect the wave overturning only in some layers where the breaking condition is satisfied (Akmaev, 2001). Especially this is important when the "mean" flow includes large-scale atmospheric waves with a short vertical wavelength (for instance, at low latitudes in the MLT region, where the diurnal tide and sometimes Kelvin waves have substantial amplitudes). To take into account such possibility, we consider the divergence of the horizontal momentum flux. The forcing per unit mass due to this divergence can be written using equation (3) and solution (6) as follows

$$a = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho u' u'' \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho \frac{k_z w'^2}{k_x} \right) = \frac{|w'|^2 k_z}{2 k_x} (\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{H}), \quad (22)$$

accounting being taken that $w'^2 = 0.5|w'|^2$. Equation (22) shows that without dissipation ($\mathcal{L} = -1/H$) the GWs do not accelerate the mean flow. Using the first order solution for $\mathcal{L}$ (17), we obtain

$$a = \frac{|w'|^2 k_z^2}{2 k_x \omega^+} [D(1 + 1/Pr) k_z^2 + \alpha]. \quad (23)$$

Substituting $|w'| = \omega^+ / k_z$ (amplitude of the vertical velocity perturbation at breaking level) and eddy diffusion coefficient $D$ (21) in (23), we obtain the explicit expression for the forcing per unit mass, which usually has been used to calculate the GW drag in general circulation models (Schoeberl et al., 1983; Holton and Zhu, 1984; Hunt, 1986; Jakobs et al., 1986) with the Lindzen (1981) parameterisation

$$a = \frac{\omega^+}{2 k_x \omega^+} \left( \frac{1}{H} - \frac{\alpha k_z}{\omega^+} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} \right) + \alpha \approx \frac{\omega^{+3}}{2 k_x N} \left( \frac{1}{H} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\omega^+} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} \right). \quad (24)$$
However, as is noted above, this parameterisation assumes that GWs are under breaking condition everywhere above the first breaking level. To apply the Lindzen-type parameterisation of the GW drag to background conditions with a strong variability of zonal and meridional winds with altitude, we follow the suggestions by Akmaev (2001). Stepping up from a given height level \(z\), it is sufficient to calculate \(|w'(z + \Delta z)|\) using the WKB solution (6) with \(\mathcal{L}\) taking into account some background dissipation (radiative damping in our case). The second integral in the right-hand part of (6) can be estimated using the simplest quadrature formula (Gavrilov, 1990). \(|w'(z + \Delta z)|\) is next compared with the breaking value \(|w'|_b = \omega^+/k_z\). If \(|w'(z + \Delta z)|\) exceeds \(|w'|_b\), then it is reset to \(|w'|_b\), the GW assumed to break between \(z\) and \(z + \Delta z\), and the forcing per unit mass (22) is calculated by finite differences

\[
a(z + \Delta z/2) = \frac{1}{2k_z} k_z(z + \Delta z)|w'(z + \Delta z)|^2 + k_z(z)|w'(z)|^2 \left(\frac{2H}{\Delta z} + \frac{k_z(z + \Delta z)|w'(z + \Delta z)|^2 - k_z(z)|w'(z)|^2}{\Delta z}\right) \tag{25}
\]

Otherwise, the wave is assumed to propagate free of breaking and acceleration of the mean flow is conditioned only by radiative damping of GWs. It should be noted that in practice the GW levels are situated between the levels of the COMMA-LIM model, and accelerations in zonal and meridional directions are calculated as follows

\[
a_\lambda = a \cos \vartheta, \quad a_\varphi = a \sin \vartheta,
\]

where \(\vartheta\) is the azimuth of GW propagation.

Using \(|w'(z_k)|\), we can estimate more correctly the eddy diffusion coefficient. One can obtain from solution (6) the following relation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln(k^1_{z,2} |w'|) = -\mathcal{L}/2. \tag{26}
\]

Substituting \(\mathcal{L}\) (17) into (26) and solving the obtained equation with respect to \(D\), we obtain

\[
D = \frac{\omega^+}{k^2_z(1 + 1/Pr)} \left[\frac{1}{H} - \frac{\alpha k_z}{\omega^+} \frac{2}{\partial z} \ln(k^1_{z,2} |w'|)\right], \tag{27}
\]

which will be used to estimate the cooling/heating contribution of the GWs. To calculate \(D(z_k + \Delta z/2)\), i.e., at the COMMA-LIM levels, the \(\omega^+\) and \(k_z\) averaged over GW levels \(z_k\) are used, and the last term in (27) is calculated by finite differences.

**Heating/cooling of the atmosphere by GWs**

Accounting that in log-pressure coordinates \(\tilde{T} = \tilde{\theta} \exp(-\kappa z/H)\), the thermodynamic equation can be written in terms of the background temperature (Schoeberl et al., 1983)

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{T} + \tilde{w} \frac{\kappa \tilde{T}}{H} = -\frac{1}{\theta \rho \kappa z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho \tilde{w}'(\theta)\right) + \frac{1}{\theta \rho c_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho c_p D \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}}{\partial z}\right) + \tilde{Q} - \tilde{C}, \tag{28}
\]

where \(\tilde{Q}\) and \(\tilde{C}\) are the mean heating and cooling per unit mass, respectively. Later the overbars denoting the background state will be omitted. The first term in the right-hand side of equation (28) describes the heating/cooling effects due to GW dissipation.
Accounting that $\theta'/\theta = T'/T$, we obtain in terms of the heat flux:

$$
-\frac{T}{\theta q} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho w' \theta') = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho w'T') - \frac{\kappa}{H} w'T'.
$$

(29)

Using the zero order solution for GWs and equation (4) we can obtain the following expression:

$$
\frac{w'T'}{\theta} = \frac{w'T}{T} = -(\alpha + D k_z^2 / Pr) \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = -\frac{(\alpha + D k_z^2 / Pr)}{2} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}.
$$

(30)

Taking into account the polarisation relation between $\theta'$ and $w'$ [zero order solution of equation (4)], we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{w'^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} |w'|^2,
$$

(31)

and the heat flux in terms of the vertical velocity perturbation can be written as follows

$$
\frac{w'T'}{\theta} = -\frac{H N^2 (\alpha + D k_z^2 / Pr)}{2 R w'^2} |w'|^2 \approx -\frac{H k_z^2 (\alpha + D k_z^2 / Pr)}{2 R k_z^2} |w'|^2,
$$

(32)

accounting being taken that

$$
\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \frac{H}{R T} N^2.
$$

The dissipative GW deposits energy in the atmosphere, and in the presence of a wind shear the energy conservation equation for GW can be written as follows (Plumb, 1983):

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\rho}{k_z} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} u' w' - S_{GW},
$$

(33)

where

$$
E = \frac{\rho}{2} |w'|^2 + N^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right)^{-2} |\theta'|^2,
$$

$$
\mathbf{F} = \rho \nabla' \phi - i_z \rho D |w'| \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial z} + \frac{N^2}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right)^{-2} \theta' \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z},
$$

and $i_z$ is the unit vector along the vertical coordinate. The first term in the right-hand side of (33) is the conversion of GW kinetic energy to the kinetic energy of the mean state. The nonconservative sink term $S_{GW}$ describes the loss of the GW energy due to dissipation and can be written as follows

$$
S_{GW} = N^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right)^{-2} \left[ \rho \frac{D}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \alpha \rho \theta'^2 \right] + \rho D \left( \frac{\partial w'}{\partial z} \right)^2.
$$

(34)

Using polarisation relations of GWs [equations (3)-(4) without dissipative terms] and taking into account that

$$
\kappa_z \gg 1/H, \quad \left( \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} \right)^2 \approx 0.5 \Re \left( \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial z} \right)^* \right) \approx 0.5 k_z^2 |\theta'|^2,
$$
where \* denotes a complex conjugate value, we obtain
\[
S_{GW} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left[ \frac{N^2}{\omega^2} (\alpha + \frac{Dk_z^2}{Pr}) + \frac{Dk_z^4}{k_z^2} \right] |u'|^2 \approx \frac{\rho N^2 [\alpha + Dk_z^2 (1/Pr + 1)]}{2\omega^2} |u'|^2, \tag{35}
\]
or in terms of the heat flux [see (32)]
\[
S_{GW}/\rho c_p = -(1 + \frac{D}{\alpha + Dk_z^2/Pr}) \frac{k}{H} \overline{u' T'}. \tag{36}
\]
Comparison between (36) and (29) shows that the last term in the right-hand side of (29) can be interpreted as the local heating rate due to conversion of the potential energy provided by GW dissipation into heat [see also (34) and (35)]. This term appears in (28) explicitly. The second term in the right-hand side of (36) describes the mechanical energy provided by GW dissipation. Some part of this energy is lost through production of turbulence and/or other waves that remove energy from the region considered. The remaining mechanical energy will be converted into heat (Schoeberl et al., 1983; Medvedev and Klaassen, 2002), and we have to introduce the corresponding heating term into the right-hand side of (28). Finally, the total heating rate due to GW dissipation can be written as follows:
\[
Q_{GW} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} (\rho \overline{w' T'}) - (1 + \epsilon_{wh} \frac{D}{\alpha + Dk_z^2/Pr}) \frac{k}{H} \overline{w' T'}, \tag{37}
\]
where \( \epsilon_{wh} \leq 1 \) is an efficiency of the mechanical energy conversion into heat. It should be noted that without dissipation \( \overline{w' \overline{\theta}} = \overline{w' T'} = 0 \) [see (32)] and GWs do not interact with the mean state.

Gravity waves are given at each horizontal gridpoint in the troposphere (at an altitude of about 7 km with 6 different phase speeds from 5 to 30 m/s and 8 azimuth angles of propagation from \( 0^\circ \) to \( 315^\circ \). The amplitudes of the perturbed vertical velocity are chosen equal to 0.75 cm/s and horizontal wavelengths are fixed at 300 km. For the Newtonian cooling coefficient we use the parameterisation of radiative damping rate given by Zhu (1993).

1.2 Solar Tides and Planetary Waves

Solar tides are generated in the model directly by absorption of radiation (see next section). A set of stationary (with zonal wave number \( m=1, 2 \)) and travelling (the Rossby normal-mode and Kelvin waves) planetary waves can now be introduced into COMA-LIM at the lower boundary. For stationary planetary waves for each month 11-year averaged monthly mean UKMO assimilated data are included in the geopotential height field at 1000 hPa. This gives really different lower boundary conditions for each season and is one of the main reasons for different summer and winter pictures in each hemisphere which otherwise will be approximately mirrored. To include travelling Rossby and Kelvin waves, the corresponding Hough functions are calculated (Swarztrauber and Kasahara, 1985) and these waves are added to the geopotential height at the lower
boundary after switching on the forcing.

**Heating of the atmosphere due to dissipation of the resolved motions**

The resolved waves (solar tides and planetary waves) and mean flow deposit a mechanical energy in the atmosphere due to dissipation by molecular and turbulent viscosity, ion drag and Rayleigh friction. A part of this energy is lost through radiation and/or generation of other waves. The remaining energy has to be converted into heat. The viscous term in the energy balance equation can be separated into the "flux" and "dissipative" (always negative) parts. The loss of energy ("dissipative" part) can be written as follows

$$
\varepsilon_v = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2,
$$

(38)

where the dynamic viscosity $\mu = \mu_m + \rho \nu_e$, $\mu_m$ is the dynamic molecular viscosity, and $\nu_e$ is the kinematic eddy viscosity. The molecular viscosity coefficient $\mu_m$ is calculated using the thermal conduction coefficient $K_m$ by Eucken formula derived from kinetic theory (Forbes and Garrett, 1979)

$$
\mu_m = \frac{K_m}{0.25(9c_p - 5c_v)},
$$

(39)

where $c_v$ is the specific heat at constant volume.

The losses of energy due to ion drag and Rayleigh friction can be presented in the following form

$$
\varepsilon_{fr} = -\beta_r \lambda u^2 - \beta_r v^2,
$$

(40)

where $\beta_r \lambda$ and $\beta_r v$ are the combined ion drag and Rayleigh friction coefficients in the zonal and meridional momentum equation, respectively.

The most part of this mechanical energy has to be converted into heat and we have to include an additional heating term in the thermodynamic equation:

$$
Q_M = -\varepsilon_M (\varepsilon_v + \varepsilon_{fr}) / c_p,
$$

(41)

where $\varepsilon_M$ is the efficiency of the mechanical energy conversion into heat for the resolved waves and the mean flow. In the present study $\varepsilon_M = 1$ has been used.

### 1.3 Cooling/heating of the atmosphere by turbulence and molecular thermal conduction

Accounting the temperature stratification of the atmosphere, the second term in the right-hand side of the thermodynamic equation (28) can be written as follows

$$
T \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \right) \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho c_p D \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \rho K_h \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{g}{c_p} \right) \right] + \frac{\kappa K_h}{c_p H_T} \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{g}{c_p} \right),
$$

(42)

where $H = \text{const}$ and $H_T(z) = RT/g$ is the scale height for the atmosphere with a stratification of the temperature; $K_h = c_p D/Pr$ is the coefficient of turbulent
thermal conduction. It should be noted that there are several sources of turbulence, for instance, shear instability of the mean flow, breaking of solar tides and planetary waves. It means that in general $K_h \neq c_p D / Pr$, where $D$ is the eddy diffusion coefficient conditioned by the GW breaking. In practice we suggest to use the eddy diffusion coefficient $D$ (27) only to calculate the heating/cooling rate due to GW breaking, but in the thermodynamic equation to use $K_h(z) = c_p \nu_e(z) / Pr$, where the kinematic eddy viscosity $\nu_e(z)$ is given by an analytical formula. We assume that turbulence in the middle atmosphere is generated within relatively thin layers, and the effective eddy heat exchange is weaker than eddy transport of momentum, i.e., $Pr > 1$ (Coy and Fritts, 1988; Gavrilov and Yudin, 1992). In the present study we accept $Pr = 3$.

The heating term $Q$ in (28) contains also the heating per unit mass due to dissipation of the turbulent energy $\varepsilon_d / c_p$ (Izakov, 1978), and we can write the thermodynamic equation (28) in the following form

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla T + w \frac{\kappa T}{H} = -\frac{H}{H_T} \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_t}{\partial z} + \frac{\varepsilon_b + \varepsilon_d}{c_p} + Q - C,$$

(43)

where the turbulent flux of heat $q_t$ and work against the buoyancy force $\varepsilon_b$ are written as follows:

$$q_t = -\rho K_h \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{g}{c_p} \right), \quad \varepsilon_b = \frac{g}{T} \frac{K_h}{c_p} \left( \frac{H}{H_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{g}{c_p} \right).$$

To estimate the role of the heating due to dissipation of the turbulent energy $\varepsilon_d$, we consider the balance equation of the turbulent energy (Monin and Yaglom, 1975)

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_t}{dt} = \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_d = (1 - R_{if}) \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_d,$$

(44)

where $\varepsilon_t$ is the turbulent energy per unit mass, $\varepsilon_s$ is the source of turbulent energy due to shear instability of the mean flow, and $R_{if} = \varepsilon_b / \varepsilon_s$ is the dynamical (or flux) Richardson number (see Izakov, 1978). Under steady-state conditions $d\varepsilon_t / dt = 0$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon_d = \frac{1 - R_{ifc}}{R_{ifc}} \varepsilon_b,$$

(45)

where the critical flux Richardson number $R_{ifc} = 1 - \varepsilon_d / \varepsilon_s$. In this case the thermodynamic equation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla T + w \frac{\kappa T}{H} = -\frac{H}{H_T} \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_t}{\partial z} + \frac{\varepsilon_b}{c_p R_{ifc}} + Q - C.$$

(46)

Under stable stratification the divergence of the turbulent heat flux produces the cooling of the atmosphere, and equation (42) shows that the relative role of cooling/heating due to turbulence depends on the value of the critical flux Richardson number. It seems that introducing $R_{ifc}$ is simply some kind of manipulation, nevertheless, it is useful to use this number as a free tunable parameter in a numerical simulation. Measurements show that for the Earth’s thermosphere $0.2 \leq R_{ifc} \leq 0.6$ (Izakov, 1978).
Following Gavrilov and Shved (1975), Ebel (1984) proposed to include into the turbulence energy equation the additional source $\varepsilon_u$ and sink $\varepsilon_c$ terms, which are conditioned by production of turbulence due to GW breaking and conversion of turbulent energy into the energy of regular motions (for instance, the generation of other waves). In this case we can also introduce the generalized Richardson number as $\text{Ri}_f^* = \varepsilon_h / (\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_w - \varepsilon_c)$ and use $\text{Ri}_{fc}$ obtained from steady-state conditions instead of $\text{Ri}_{fc}$. This suggestion assumes that the loss of GW energy due to dissipation and/or breaking will be converted into heat through the generation of turbulent motions and we have to put the efficiency of GW heating $\varepsilon_{w_h} = 0$. However, in practice of numerical simulation, to investigate separately the heating/cooling effects of GW breaking and turbulence, it is more useful to have two tunable parameters $\varepsilon_{w_h}$ and $\text{Ri}_{fc}$. It should be noted that in general these parameters are not independent (decrease in $\varepsilon_{w_h}$ leads to a decrease in $\text{Ri}_{fc}$), but this dependence is not well defined because there are different sources of atmospheric turbulence (shear instability, GW breaking, breaking of resolved waves and so on), and a part of the turbulent energy can be converted into the energy of mean or wave motions. In the present simulations $\varepsilon_{w_h} = 0.3$ and $\text{Ri}_{fc} = 0.6$ have been used.

In the thermosphere the molecular thermal conduction plays an important role, and we have to replace $q_t$ in (42) by $q = q_t + q_m$, where the molecular flux of heat $q_m$ can be presented as follows:

$$q_m = -K_m \frac{H T}{H T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z},$$

$K_m$ is the molecular thermal conduction coefficient, which is calculated by a semi-empirical formula (Forbes and Garrett, 1979) $K_m = K_m0 T^{2/3} / M$, where $K_m0 = 0.015$ $JK^{-1}m^{-1}s^{-1}$ and $M$ is the mean molecular weight in atomic mass units.

### 1.4 Parameterisation of the horizontal turbulent diffusion

To smooth the subgrid-scale motions, instead of the Shapiro-Filter we now use the parameterisation of the horizontal turbulent diffusion suggested by Marchuk et al. (1984). In the simplest form the terms describing horizontal diffusion in the zonal and meridional momentum equations can be written as follows:

$$F_u^H = \frac{K_H}{a^2 \cos^2 \varphi} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \cos^3 \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{u}{\cos \varphi} \right) - 2 \sin \varphi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda} \right],$$

$$F_v^H = \frac{K_H}{a^2 \cos^2 \varphi} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \cos^3 \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{v}{\cos \varphi} \right) + 2 \sin \varphi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda} \right],$$

where $K_H$ is the coefficient of the horizontal diffusion. The corresponding term in the energy equation is the following:

$$F_T^H = \frac{K_H}{a^2 \cos^2 \varphi} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \varphi^2} + \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (\cos \varphi \frac{\partial T}{\partial \varphi}) \right].$$
In our simulation we use the height dependent coefficient of the horizontal diffusion

\[ K_H(z) = [1.25 + 0.75 \tanh(\frac{z - z_0}{20})] \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (50) \]

where \( z \) is the altitude in kilometers and \( z_0 = 40 \) or 60 km (strong or weak coefficient of the horizontal diffusion in the stratosphere).

Additionally, to suppress the motions with small (unresolved) vertical scales, we introduce a weak vertical bi-harmonic diffusion in the model, which practically does not influence the considered large-scale waves and mean flow.

### 1.5 Ion drag, Lorentz deflection, and Rayleigh friction terms

In the lower thermosphere (dynamo-region of the ionosphere) interaction between the ionised and neutral components can substantially influence the large-scale neutral gas motions. To take into account this interaction, we have to include the electromagnetic force \( c^{-1} [j \times B] \) into the momentum equation, where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( B \) is the geomagnetic field, and electric current density \( j \) can be presented as follows:

\[ j = \sigma_0 (E' \cdot B) B / B_0^2 + \sigma_1 B \times E' \times B / B_0^2 + \sigma_2 B \times E' / B_0, \quad (51) \]

where \( E' = E + c^{-1} [V \times B] \); \( \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \) and \( \sigma_2 \) are parallel, Pedersen, and Hall conductivities, respectively. Assuming \( E = 0 \) (consideration of the electrostatic electric field is out of scope of the present paper) and using the geomagnetic field in the form of magnetic dipole \( B = B_0 \{ \cos \varphi / (1 + 3 \sin^2 \varphi)^{1/2}, -2 \sin \varphi / (1 + 3 \sin^2 \varphi)^{1/2} \} \), we obtain the ion drag and Lorentz deflection terms, which can be presented as additional Rayleigh friction coefficients in the zonal and meridional momentum equations and correction to the Coriolis term, respectively

\[ \beta_r \lambda = \beta_r + \frac{\sigma_1 B_0^2}{\rho c^2}, \quad \beta_r \varphi = \beta_r + \frac{\sigma_1 B_0^2}{\rho c^2}, \quad (52) \]

and

\[ 2 \Omega \sin \varphi \quad \rightarrow \quad (2 \Omega - \frac{\sigma_2 B_0 B_z}{\rho c^2}) \sin \varphi, \quad (53) \]

Daily averaged profiles of Pedersen and Hall conductivities are calculated as averaged over low latitudes \( (-45^0 \leq \varphi \leq 45^0) \) using empirical models of the thermosphere and ionosphere and standard expressions for collision frequencies (Pogoreltsev, 1996). The calculated profiles of ion drag and Lorentz deflection terms are interpolated to pressure levels using the geopotential height.

The background Rayleigh friction coefficient \( \beta_r \) is introduced to parameterise the loss of energy due to nonlinear interaction of the mean flow and resolved waves with other waves, which are not taken into consideration. The role of the nonlinear processes increases with altitude (McLandress, 2002), and we use the following analytical formula to account this effect

\[ \beta_r(z) = [1.25 + 0.75 \tanh(\frac{z - z_0}{20})] \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (54) \]
where $z$ and $z_0$ are defined as above (and here it means strong or weak coefficient of the Rayleigh friction in the stratosphere).

### 2 Heating due to absorption of solar radiation

Heating of the most important gases as water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone and oxygen is considered. Water vapor is the most important absorber in the troposphere. Ozone clearly dominates stratospheric heating and molecular oxygen becomes more and more important between 60 and 120 km. We prescribe the water vapor content in an analytic profile symmetric to the equator. Carbon dioxide is assumed to be equally distributed up to $\sim 80$ km and decreasing above, the volume mixing ratio is set to 360 ppmV; but $CO_2$ gives the most substantial contribution to heat in the troposphere because its absorption is strongly dependent on the pressure ratio. Ozone data are used from the Berlin climatology (Fortuin and Langematz, 1994), atomic and molecular oxygen are given as climatological globally averaged profiles of the mixing ratio.

The original Strobel-parameterisation as described in Lange (2001) has been extended and improved taking into account several new investigations on this topic. Ozone heating in the Chappius, Herzberg and Huggins bands has been improved due to Rickaby and Shine (1989). These bands are splitted into several sections in order to increase the accuracy of the calculation. Now, also the Lyman-$\alpha$ band is included, which is important because of its strong variation during the solar cycle. $O_2$ heating in the Hartley, Schumann-Runge bands and the Schumann-Runge Continuum is retained following Strobel (1978) but includes new efficiency coefficients according to Mlynyak and Solomon (1993). Processes of chemical heating due to recombination reactions of $O_2$ and $O_3$ are added to the heating routine according Riese and Offermann (1994).

Heating due to absorption of $H_2O$ and $CO_2$ is newly adjusted according to Liou (1992) as will be pointed out below. Rayleigh scattering and surface reflection are taken into account for heating of $H_2O$, because this is the most important absorber in the troposphere.

### 2.1 Transfer of broadband solar flux in the atmosphere

First consider a nonscattering atmosphere. The direct downward solar flux at level $\tau$ is given by the exponential attenuation of the effective solar flux at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) $\mu_0 F_{\lambda\infty}$. Thus

$$F_{\text{dir}}(\tau) = \mu_0 F_{\lambda\infty} e^{\tau / \mu_0},$$

where $\mu_0 = \cos \vartheta_0$, $\vartheta_0$ denotes the solar zenith angle, and for monochromatic direct solar flux, the total direct downward solar flux can be written as

$$F_s(z) = \int_0^\infty \mu_0 F_{\lambda\infty} \exp(-\frac{k_{\lambda} u(z)}{\mu_0}) d\lambda, \quad (56)$$
where \( k \lambda u(z) \) represents the optical depth, \( k \lambda \) is the absorption coefficient, and the absorbing gaseous path length is defined by

\[
u(z) = \int_z^{z_\infty} \rho(z') dz',
\]

(57)

where \( \rho \) denotes the density of the absorbing gas and \( z_\infty \) denotes the height at TOA. The total solar flux at TOA can be written as follows

\[
S = \int_0^{\infty} F_{\lambda_\infty} d\lambda,
\]

(58)

and monochromatic absorptance may be expressed by

\[
A_{\lambda}(u/\mu_0) = 1 - \exp(-k \lambda u / \mu_0).
\]

(59)

We may define broadband solar absorptance as follows (Liou, 1992):

\[
A(z) = \frac{1}{S} \int_0^{\infty} F_{\lambda_\infty} \cdot A_{\lambda}(u/\mu_0) d\lambda,
\]

(60)

and equation (56) can be rewritten in the form

\[
F_s(z) = \mu_0 S [1 - A(z)].
\]

(61)

Broadband solar absorptance may be rewritten in terms of spectral absorptance \( A_i \) in the form

\[
A(z) = \int_0^{\infty} A_{\lambda}(u/\mu_0) w_\lambda d\lambda \approx \sum_i A_i(u/\mu_0) w_i \Delta \lambda_i,
\]

(62)

where \( w_\lambda = F_{\lambda_\infty} / S \).

For large values of total absorption, the empirical expression for the mean spectral absorptivity can be written as follows (Liou and Sasamory, 1975):

\[
\bar{A}_i = A_i \Delta \lambda_i = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu_i} [C_i + D_i \log_{10}(u p^h / \mu_0 + \chi_0)],
\]

(63)

or in terms of the reduced pressure \( \bar{p} \) (Liou, 1992)

\[
\bar{A}_i = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu_i} [C_i + D_i \log_{10}(\bar{p} / \mu_0 + \chi_0)],
\]

(64)

where

\[
\bar{p}_i = \int_0^u p^h d\nu = \int_z^{z_\infty} \rho (z') d\nu.
\]

(65)

The heating rate can be written as follows (Liou, 1992):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right)_s = -\frac{\mu_0 S}{\rho c_p} \sum_i w_i \frac{d\bar{A}_i(u/\mu_0)}{d\mu} = \frac{\mu_0 S \rho}{\rho c_p} \sum_i w_i \frac{d\bar{A}_i(u/\mu_0)}{d\mu},
\]

(66)

where

\[
\frac{d\bar{A}_i(u/\mu_0)}{d\mu} = \frac{\log_{10} e}{\mu_0} \sum_i \frac{D_i}{\Delta \nu_i} (\bar{p}_i / \mu_0 + \chi_0)^{-1} \frac{d\bar{p}_i}{d\mu},
\]

(67)
and
\[ \frac{d\tilde{p}_n}{du} = p_n. \]  

Finally, taking into account Rayleigh scattering and surface reflection (Liou, 1992), we obtain
\[ (\frac{\partial T}{\partial t})^s = \frac{S \rho_a \log_{10} e}{\rho c_p} \sum_i \frac{w_i D_i}{\Delta v_i} \frac{p_{n_i}}{\tilde{p}_i / \mu_0 + \chi_0} + \frac{\mu_0 r(\mu_0)}{\mu} \left[ 1 - A(z_b) \right] \frac{p_{n_i}}{\tilde{p}_b / \mu + \chi_0}, \]

where \( r(\mu_0) \) is the combined reflection due to the Rayleigh layer and the surface, \( 1/\mu \) is the diffusivity factor, \( z_b = 0 \) for water vapor absorption, and
\[ \tilde{p}_b = \int_{z_b}^{z} \rho_a p_n \, dz'. \]

3 Results and Discussion

Now, we will discuss the results COMMA-LIM is capable of producing. Figures 1 to 8 show latitude-height cross sections of monthly mean temperature and wind fields, tides and stationary planetary wave with wavenumber 1 for all four seasons. Calculations were done for January, April, July and October in order to obtain the stabilized climatologies which develop just after solstice or equinox, respectively. Please note, that we used here a moderate dissipation in the stratosphere with \( z_0 = 50 \text{ km} \) (see equation (50) and (54)). Further, no travelling planetary waves are excited and only the stationary planetary wave with wavenumber 1 is forced at lower boundary.

Temperature field

First, note the temperature fields (top panels of Figures 1 to 4). Observed features of the atmosphere are (Scaife et al., 2000): a cold equatorial tropopause about/below 210 K, a cold winter stratosphere together with a raised winter stratopause, a strongly heated stratosphere/stratopause at the summer hemisphere due to absorption by ozone and above a very cold summer mesopause region with temperatures up to 130 K. While the temperature maximum at the winter stratopause and the minimum in the polar winter stratosphere derived from radiation processes the other extremes develop through the meridional circulation and eddy motions in the middle atmosphere. One can see that the model matches this features well. In January and July, the summer mesopause temperatures are below 150 K. It fits not exactly the 130 K finding because there is still a lack of knowledge to what amount gravity waves, tides and planetary waves act to cool the mesopause region. For April and October one can see, that the stratopause looks very similar to that of the following solstice conditions, whereas the mesopause region shows a transitional picture.

Wind fields

Again, several properties have to be mentioned to understand the climatological pictures. At solstice, the circulation consists of rising air near the summer pole, a meridional drift to the winter hemisphere, and sinking near the winter pole. The Coriolis torque exerted by this meridional drift tends to generate mean zonal westerlies in the winter hemisphere and easterlies in the summer hemisphere that are in
approximate geostrophic balance with the meridional pressure gradient. At equinoxes
the maximum heating at equator leads to rising air there and poleward drift in both
spring and autumn hemispheres. The Coriolis torque thus generates weak zonal mean
westerlies in both hemispheres. In the troposphere, easterly jets arise in the subtropical
regions - the trade winds - and a westerly jet arises at midlatitudes. In the mesopause
region the momentum deposition of breaking gravity waves leads to a zonal wind reversal.

The climatological values for the zonal and meridional winds can be easily recognised.
For the troposphere one has to take into account that we only have four layers to describe
it and no hydrological cycle. The troposphere therefore acts as a lower boundary and we
have only very rough dynamical conditions.

We compare our zonal winds with wind measurements from the High Resolution
Doppler Imager (HRDI) which were combined with results from the UK Met. Of-
face stratospheric data assimilation system, see also Swinbank and Ortland (paper

Beginning with the troposphere, one can see the easterly jets appear only at solstice
conditions and only in the summer tropical region. The westerly jets in both hemispheres
with maxima are at about 40° North or South. The absolute values of the westward winds
in the winterhemisphere are slightly weak compared with the climatological values. But
one can see the asymmetric seasonal behaviour that is conditioned by the topography of
the earth. We obtain this feature mainly due to seasonally different stationary planetary
waves with wavenumber 1 (SPW1).

In the stratosphere and mesosphere the easterly and westerly jets dominate in each
summer (winter) side in July and January, respectively. In a good agreement with this
wind data is the winter jet for July and January, which is stronger in July and weaker in
January. However, the summer (easterly) jet in COMMA-LIM is about 20 m s⁻¹ weaker
and has only one maximum instead of two as observed. Several things are assumed to
be responsible for this difference: first, there is no latitudinal variation of GWs which
provide acceleration on the mean flow due to their breaking; second, no planetary waves
besides the SPW1 are included. Another reason can be the medium scale variability
in ozon (and heating rate) which is not presented in the climatological fields. In the
transition time (April and October) we have westerly jets on both hemispheres where the
autumn jet is (two times) stronger than the spring one. The results for April match
better than these for October. The mesopause region is characterized by the zonal wind
reverse due to the momentum deposition of breaking gravity waves. The measurements
show a weaker reverse of the jets on winter hemispheres which can be driven by decreased
gravity wave activity. COMMA-LIM has until now included no seasonal dependence
of GW activity; this is a separate work. Therefore no difference in the strenght of the
reversal jets can be seen in our July and January Figures. The meridional winds show
very nice the circulation for solstices and equinoxes as it is explained above.

Tides and the stationary planetary wave
Our figures 5 to 8 show the diurnal tide at the top, the semidiurnal tide at the middle
both as amplitudes in the zonal wind - and the SPW1 in geopotential height at bottom
for all four months. It can be seen that the diurnal tide has a seasonal variation which is also observed (McLandress, 2002); it is stronger at equinox and weaker at solstice. The maxima appear around 30 ° North and South at altitudes between 90 and 100 km. This coincides with measurements derived from HRDI data for 1992 – 1993, see also Khattatov et al. (1997). The absolute values are different, COMMA-LIM shows approximately 10 m/s higher amplitudes than the HRDI data. But taken into account that the control runs are done without any travelling planetary wave these results cannot be totally identical. The maxima of semidiurnal tides prevail at higher latitudes and have higher values in winter than in summer as can be clearly seen in the figures. Comparisons with results obtained by assimilating ground based data into a model (Portnyagin and Solovjova, 1998) confirm the locations of our maximum amplitudes.

Considering the stationary planetary wave one recognises that SPW1 is strongest in winter, especially in the northern hemisphere winter. This is in good agreement with analyses from Labitzke (1985).

Conclusion
We introduced in COMMA-LIM a new gravity wave parameterisation and connected processes as heating and cooling of the atmosphere by GW. Further, the included planetary waves are also considered as sources of mechanical and thermal energy. Another horizontal turbulent diffusion parameterisation was implemented and the routine which treats the interaction between the ionised and neutral components in the lower thermosphere was improved. Finally, the radiation scheme was improved taking into account new insights in this topic.
Summarising all characteristics, one can conclude that COMMA-LIM as a mechanistical model provides us with really good climatologies and reasonable tides as well as other planetary waves. So it can be used for studying the middle atmosphere with low timecosts in a good physical quality. Further work on COMMA-LIM is planning on investigating the latitudinal dependence of gravity waves and their influence on the background flow as well as studies for data assimilation to improve the lower boundary conditions and the distributions of meteorological fields in the troposphere.
Figure 1: Monthly mean temperature (K) at top, zonal wind (m/s) at mid, meridional wind (m/s) at bottom for January.
Figure 2: as in Fig.1, but for April.
Figure 3: as in Fig.1, but for July.
Figure 4: as in Fig.1, but for October.
Figure 5: Amplitudes of Diurnal Tide (m/s) at top, and semidiurnal tide (m/s) at mid, both for the zonal wind, and the stationary planetary wave 1 in geopotential height (gpm) at bottom for January.
Figure 6: as in Fig.5, but for April
Figure 7: as in Fig. 5, but for July
Figure 8: as in Fig.5, but for October
## Important Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value/Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>geomagnetic field</td>
<td>$nT$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>cooling</td>
<td>$K \ d^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>eddy diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>$m^2 \ s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>electrical field</td>
<td>$V \ m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>GW energy</td>
<td>$kg \ m^2 \ s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>GW energy flux</td>
<td>$kg \ s^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>scale height</td>
<td>$7 \ km$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$</td>
<td>thermal conduction coefficient</td>
<td>$W \ m^{-1} K^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_h$</td>
<td>turbulent thermal conduction coefficient</td>
<td>$W \ m^{-1} K^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_H$</td>
<td>coefficient of horizontal diffusion</td>
<td>$m^2 \ s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N^2$</td>
<td>Brunt-Väisälä frequency</td>
<td>$s^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>gasconstant for dry air</td>
<td>$287 \ J \ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ri_f$</td>
<td>dynamical Richardson number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>turbulent Prandtl Number</td>
<td>$3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>heating</td>
<td>$K \ d^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>horizontal wind field</td>
<td>$m \ s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_r$</td>
<td>vertical velocity</td>
<td>$m \ s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>acceleration</td>
<td>$m \ s^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>specific heat of constant pressure</td>
<td>$J \ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{wh}$</td>
<td>efficiency of mechanical energy conversion into heat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>gravity</td>
<td>$m \ s^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>electrical current density</td>
<td>$A \ m^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{x(z)}$</td>
<td>horizontal (vertical) wavenumber</td>
<td>$m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_\lambda$</td>
<td>absorption coefficient</td>
<td>$m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p, p_0$</td>
<td>pressure, reference pressure</td>
<td>$hPa$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>height</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Newtonian cooling coefficient</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{r\lambda(\varphi)}$</td>
<td>ion drag and Rayleigh friction in zonal (meridional) direction</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_b$</td>
<td>work against buoyancy force</td>
<td>$m^2 \ s^{-3} K^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>potential temperature</td>
<td>$K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>longitude</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>$kg \ m^{-3} s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>kinematic eddy viscosity</td>
<td>$kg \ m^{-3} s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density</td>
<td>$kg \ m^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_0$</td>
<td>parallel conductivity</td>
<td>$S \ m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>Pedersen conductivity</td>
<td>$S \ m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_2$</td>
<td>Hall conductivity</td>
<td>$S \ m^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi$</td>
<td>latitude</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega^+$</td>
<td>intrinsic frequency</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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